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#### Abstract

In this study, we use microdata from Japanese customs declarations and calculate semiannual invoice currency shares by country, both on a value and transaction basis. From country-level data, we can confirm the following: First, the impression that Japan's trade is biased toward the U.S. dollar is mainly due to the choice in the US, China, and resource-rich countries with large trade volumes. Second, the yen invoicing is selected on a value basis and an even larger transaction number basis, and the local currency invoicing is also used on a bilateral country basis. Third, the choice of invoice currency has changed in recent years. From 2014 to 2020, the US dollar (USD) lost the most shares, falling in 23 of the 34 countries. By conducting an empirical analysis exploring the determinants of invoice currency. Our main findings confirm that the intermediate goods trade share has the effect of reducing Yen and increasing USD invoicing in export, while the higher the inflation gap, the more likely is to use USD invoicing, which suggests that Japanese firms will be further exposed to foreign exchange risk in the future.
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## 1. Introduction

The Japanese Ministry of Finance has been publishing the shares of invoice currencies by trading partner region on a semiannual basis. This provided valuable data for understanding developments in the currencies that Japanese firms choose for their imports and exports. However, information aggregated at the regional level was strongly influenced by the choice of countries accounting for large trade volumes and does not provide information on the extent to which the yen or trading partner currencies are used in trade with individual trading partner countries. In recent years, there has been a notable increase in the use of local currencies in Asian countries. Still, the data aggregated for Asia did not make it possible to understand the situation accurately.

Regarding the use of invoice currencies, the pattern observed for Japan is somewhat of a puzzle: although Japan is a developed country, Japanese firms are more likely to invoice their exports and imports in a third-country currency, the US dollar (USD), than the yen - even in trade with emerging Asian economies. This pattern differs from that observed for major advanced countries and conflicts with stylized facts regarding the use of invoice currencies (outlined below) Various studies, such as Ito et al. (2010, 2013, 2016, 2018, 2021) and Shimizu et al. (2021), have investigated this puzzle through interviews with firms and questionnaire surveys. The studies suggest that firms' foreign exchange risk management is the main reason. As Japanese firms have expanded their supply chains across Asia through overseas subsidiaries, they have adopted the USD to conduct intra-firm trade, with the corporate finance division at the headquarters in Japan collectively managing foreign exchange risk. However, the sample sizes and response rates of such surveys are limited. Against this background, calculating and analyzing the shares of invoice currencies by trading partner country based on Japanese customs data can help to address a range of crucial issues, such as the actual use of the yen in trade invoicing and what factors are contributing to the use of the yen or other currencies in trade invoicing.

To address these issues, in the current study, we calculate country-level invoice currency shares, both on a value basis and on a transaction basis, using Japanese customs data, and examine developments in invoice currency shares of the yen, the USD, and partner country currencies at the country level. This provides valuable information for considering future corporate currency risk management and the government's international economic policies. Notably, such information on invoice currency shares by country is likely to provide a valuable reference for firms newly entering into trade transactions. Furthermore, finding the determinants of invoice currency choice will allow us to discuss how the recent supply chain restructuring will affect the invoice currency choice.

The remainder of this study is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some theoretical considerations and stylized facts on the choice of invoice currency and provides characteristics of

Japanese firms' choice of invoice currency from trade statistics published by the Ministry of Finance. Section 3 explains the invoice currency share data calculation by trading partner countries conducted for this study and the countries considered. Section 4 provides an overview of the results of currency share by country. In Section 5, using the currency share by country as a dependent variable, we conduct a panel analysis to investigate what country factors significantly affect currency invoicing. Section 6 summarizes the empirical results and draws policy implications.

## 2. Characteristics of Japanese Firm's Choice of Invoice Currency

The yen's nominal exchange rate against the USD followed a long-term appreciation trend from the end of the Bretton Woods system in 1971 until 2012, albeit with some short-term fluctuations. Japanese firms have been confronting the foreign exchange risk of substantial yen fluctuations. ${ }^{1}$ The impact of exchange rate fluctuations on import and export prices, especially in the short term, varies greatly depending on which currency is used as the invoice currency. If Japanese firms choose to export in yen, they are unaffected by exchange rate fluctuations because their counterparts bear the exchange rate risk. This means that exporters pass entirely on exchange rate fluctuations to their export partners. Conversely, when a Japanese firm exports in USD (or in the partner country's currency), the selling price in USD (or the partner country's currency) in the destination country will be stable; however, the Japanese exporter will bear any gains or losses from exchange rate fluctuations. This latter pricing behavior is called zero exchange rate passthrough (ERPT) or complete pricing-to-market (PTM), where export prices are stable in the destination country's currency.

Let us consider a concrete example. For exports denominated in USD, the yen amount received is the USD export price multiplied by the yen-dollar exchange rate. Therefore, when the yen weakens against the USD, the amount received in yen increases, which has a positive impact on firms' profits and is likely to raise their share prices. On the other hand, for imports denominated in USD, the amount paid in yen equals the USD import price multiplied by the yenUSD exchange rate. Hence, a yen depreciation increases import payments. If this increase in import payments is passed on to consumer prices, domestic prices will rise, resulting in cost-push inflation. Thus, the choice of invoice currency and the ERPT/PTM are interrelated and can significantly impact firms' profits and domestic prices.

Many studies have examined the choice of invoice currency. Among the first was Grassman $(1973,1976)$, who highlighted that trade in industrial products among developed countries tended

[^1]to be invoiced in the exporting country's currency (referred to as Grassman's Law). This gives rise to the following stylized fact:

Stylized Fact 1: Trade in industrial products between developed countries is invoiced in the exporting country's currency.

Moreover, it has been pointed out that trade between developed and developing countries tends to be invoiced in the currency of the developed country (Grassman 1973, Page 1977, 1981). This tendency in the invoice currency choice is easy to understand if one considers that when developed countries export manufacturing products to developing countries, they are better positioned to negotiate export contracts than developing countries. Therefore, the next stylized fact is as follows:

Stylized Fact 2: When manufacturing products are exported from developed countries to developing countries, they are traded in the developed country's currency.

Furthermore, McKinnon (1979) presents an important hypothesis regarding industry- and product-specific differences in invoice currency choice. This can be summarized as the following stylized fact:

Stylized Fact 3: Exports of differentiated goods are traded in the exporting country's currency. Homogeneous goods such as crude oil are traded in the international key currency such as the USD.

The puzzle mentioned earlier is that Japan's patterns regarding invoice currency use do not fit these stylized facts. To illustrate this, Table 1 shows the shares of total exports and imports of the six major countries in 1980, 2000, and 2019, invoiced in their currencies and USD. The table shows that for Japan, the share invoiced in its currency is relatively low for both exports and imports. In contrast, the share invoiced in USD is still very high compared to other countries, even though it has declined recently. In 2019, for example, $49.7 \%$ of Japan's exports were invoiced in USD, about 12 percentage points higher than the share invoiced in yen. The pattern is particularly pronounced for Japanese imports, with only 25.5\% of Japan's imports in 2019 invoiced in yen, while $67.4 \%$ were invoiced in USD. Looking at the corresponding shares for other major developed economies shows that for Germany, the share of its currency has been high since the days of the German mark, exceeding $75 \%$ for both imports and exports. France and Italy, which had a low currency share before the introduction of the euro, have benefited from the single
currency, the euro, and now have their currency shares of more than $70 \%$. As the key currency nation for the United States, the share of imports and exports invoiced in its currency, the USD, is as high as $95 \%$. Unlike their counterparts in the United States, who do not have to worry about exchange rate risk, at least in the short term, Japanese firms, to a large extent, do not conduct trade in their currency. Still, Japanese firms use a third-country currency (the USD) or the partner country's currency. Thus, they often suffer large exchange rate fluctuations.

Table 1: Share of Invoice Currencies for Six Major Economies (\%)

|  | Export |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Share of Own Currency |  |  | Share of U.S. dollar |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 2000 | 2019 | 1980 | 2000 | 2019 |
| U.S. | 97.0 | $96.1 \dagger$ | 95.7p | 97.0 | $96.1 \dagger$ | 95.7P |
| Japan | 28.9 | 36.1 | 37.2 | 66.3 | 52.4 | 49.7 |
| Germany | 82.3 | $72.0 \%$ | 75.9 | 7.2 | 17.1\% | 17.7 |
| U.K. | 76.0 | 50.0 | 37.7 | 17.0 | 29.0 | 32.7 |
| France | 62.5 | 75.6 | 72.3 | 13.2 | 21.0 | 22.0 |
| Italy | 36.0 | 75.2* | 82.3p | 30.0 | 18.1* | 14.1p |
|  | Import |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Share of Own Currency |  |  | Share of U.S. dollar |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 2000 | 2019 | 1980 | 2000 | 2019 |
| U.S. | 85.0 | $93.2 \dagger$ | 95.1p | 85.0 | $93.2 \dagger$ | 95.1P |
| Japan | 2.4 | 23.5 | 25.5 | 93.1 | 70.7 | 67.4 |
| Germany | 43.0 | 72.7\% | 77.4 | 32.3 | 18.7\% | 20.2 |
| U.K. | 38.0 | 47.0 | 24.8 | 29.0 | 34.0 | 43.6 |
| France | 34.1 | 74.9 | 74.2 | 33.1 | 21.0 | 23.4 |
| Italy | 18.0 | 71.0* | 71.2p | 45.0 | 24.9* | 25.9P |

Note: * $*$ Data in 2001, $\ddagger$ Data in 2002, $\dagger$ Data in 2004, $\mathbb{P}$ Data in 2018
Source: Ito et al. (2018), Chapter 2, excerpted from Table 2-1.

Next, look at changes in invoice currency shares by a trading partner based on Japanese invoice currency share data by region published by the Ministry of Finance. First, the USD is the largest share of Japan's exports to the United States. For example, in the second half of 2022, $86.7 \%$ of Japan's exports to the United States were invoiced in USD, while the share invoiced in yen was only $13.2 \%$ (Figure 1-1). This situation has not changed since 1987, the first year these statistics are available. This high USD invoice share in Japan's exports to the United States conflicts with Stylized Fact 1. Moreover, Figures 1-2 show that more than 70\% of Japan's imports from the United States are also invoiced in USD. Although the yen invoice share today is moderately higher than in the 1990s, it remained at only $22.3 \%$ in the second half of 2022.

Figure 1-1: Share of Currency in Exports from Japan
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Figure 1-2: Share of Currency in Imports to Japan
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Source: Ministry of Finance, Japan (https://www.customs.go.jp/toukei/shinbun/tuukahappyou.html)
Note: Data are published in semiannual figures, but data for the second half of each year are used in this table.

Shimizu et al. (2021), Ito et al. (2018), and Ito et al. (2021) suggest that the following two factors explain why Japanese firms' exports are mainly invoiced in dollars. The first, as mentioned, is linked to managing foreign exchange risk: as supply chains through overseas subsidiaries have expanded, Japanese firms have sought to unify intra-firm trade in one currency, the USD, with the corporate finance division at the headquarters in Japan managing foreign exchange risk. Second, Japanese firms face fierce price competition in overseas markets. Competition is not limited to Western firms. Asian firms from South Korea and Taiwan are also competitors, and Japanese firms cannot afford to change their prices every time the yen-dollar exchange rate changes. Therefore, Japanese firms use the PTM strategy to stabilize local selling prices and secure market share. This strategy is observed mainly in Japan's trade with Europe: more than $50 \%$ of Japanese exports to Europe are invoiced in the destination country's currency, i.e., the euro. At the same time, the share of European exports to Japan (i.e., Japanese imports) invoiced in the yen has been on the rise since the 2000s and, in the first half of 2022, exceeded $60 \%$ for the first time with a value of $60.9 \%$.

In Japan's trade with Asia, the USD and the yen share in Japanese exports are almost on par. This pattern has remained unchanged since 1987, the first year these statistics are available. While most of Japan's exports to Asia are invoiced in two developed-country currencies, the yen and the USD, the fact that the share of yen invoicing in Japan's exports is below $50 \%$ and is on par with the share of USD invoicing conflicts with Stylized Fact 2 in that much of the trade uses a thirdcountry currency, the USD, rather than the yen or local Asian currencies. This pattern is even more pronounced in Japan's imports from Asia. Although the USD share has gradually declined since the 1990s and fell below the $70 \%$ level in 2019, the share of yen invoicing has not increased. Instead, the decline in the USD share has been mirrored by a rise in the share of Asian currencies.

Data on trade with Asia invoiced in Asian local currencies, such as the Chinese yuan and the Thai baht, is available from 2012 onward and shows that the share of Japanese exports invoiced in yuan has risen from $0.5 \%$ in late 2012 to $4.2 \%$ in the second half of 2022 . On the other hand, the share of exports invoiced in Thai baht has remained unchanged at around $1 \%$. While looking at these shares alone might lead one to conclude that Japan's trade with other Asian countries is rarely invoiced in local currencies, this would be premature since these shares are calculated as the local currency shares in Japan's total trade with Asia, not in the bilateral trade between Japan and individual countries. Therefore, to understand the extent to which the yen and local currencies are used in bilateral trade, it is necessary to look not at regional data but at country-level bilateral trade. Such an understanding is essential both for firms' currency risk management and the government's international economic policies, and providing the necessary information is one of the significant contributions of this study.

## 3. Analysis Using Japanese Customs Data

This study forms part of the "Joint Research Using Export/Import Declaration Data," for which the Ministry of Finance (MOF) publicly solicited applications in FY2021 based on the "Basic Policy on Economic and Fiscal Management and Reform 2021" (Cabinet decision on June 18,2021 , which calls for making the best use of data compiled by the government. For the analysis, we sort the microdata of import/export (2014-2020) held by Japan Customs by invoice currency code and calculate the invoice currency shares. The procedures for exports and imports, respectively, are as follows:
(a) For exports, the total number of transactions ${ }^{2}$ and the total declared value are calculated using the invoice currency code for each destination country code.
(b) For imports, the total number of transactions and the total value on a customs valuation basis are calculated using the invoice currency code used for each country-of-origin code.

Based on the above data, we calculate the annual invoice currency shares of major currencies (USD, yen, and euro) and the destination/origin country currency by country, both on a value basis and in terms of the number of transactions and examine developments in the invoice currency shares over the period from 2014 to $2020 .^{3}$

As sample countries, we used the top 34 countries to rank Japan's export and import partners in 2020. A list of these countries and their shares in Japan's exports and imports are shown in Tables 2. China is the top export destination, accounting for $22.07 \%$ of Japan's exports. While the United States (18.44\%) is second, ranks three to seven are taken up by other Asian countries, led by South Korea ( $6.97 \%$ ) and Taiwan ( $6.93 \%$ ), followed by Hong Kong (4.99\%), Thailand (3.98\%), and Singapore ( $2.76 \%$ ) - the top 34 countries in the table account for just under $95 \%$ of Japan's total exports.

Among import partners, China ranks first with $25.77 \%$, far ahead of the United States ( $10.95 \%$ ), followed by Australia ( $5.63 \%$ ), and then several Asian countries, led by Taiwan (4.21\%), South Korea (4.18\%), Thailand (3.74\%), and Vietnam (3.46\%). Oil-producing countries such as Saudi Arabia (2.89\%) and the United Arab Emirates (2.56\%) are ranked in the top 10. The top 34 countries account for over $93 \%$ of Japan's total imports.

[^2]Table 2: Ranking of Japan's Export and Import Partner Countries in 2020
<Export>

| Ranking | Country | Value | Share |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | China | $141,250,228$ | $22.07 \%$ |
| 2 | United States | $117,998,858$ | $18.44 \%$ |
| 3 | South Korea | $44,587,147$ | $6.97 \%$ |
| 4 | Taiwan | $44,326,213$ | $6.93 \%$ |
| 5 | Hong Kong | $31,947,803$ | $4.99 \%$ |
| 6 | Thailand | $25,469,579$ | $3.98 \%$ |
| 7 | Singapore | $17,640,049$ | $2.76 \%$ |
| 8 | Germany | $17,538,203$ | $2.74 \%$ |
| 9 | Viet Nam | $17,088,307$ | $2.67 \%$ |
| 10 | Malaysia | $12,569,861$ | $1.96 \%$ |
| 11 | Australia | $12,117,894$ | $1.89 \%$ |
| 12 | Netherlands | $10,890,745$ | $1.70 \%$ |
| 13 | Great Britain | $10,698,313$ | $1.67 \%$ |
| 14 | Indonesia | $9,153,703$ | $1.43 \%$ |
| 15 | India | $9,091,201$ | $1.42 \%$ |
| 16 | Philippines | $8,787,896$ | $1.37 \%$ |
| 17 | Mexico | $8,375,102$ | $1.31 \%$ |
| 18 | Canada | $7,228,848$ | $1.13 \%$ |
| 19 | Belgium | $6,540,010$ | $1.02 \%$ |
| 20 | Russia | $5,870,453$ | $0.92 \%$ |
| 21 | France | $5,635,608$ | $0.88 \%$ |
| 22 | United Arab Emirates | $5,544,442$ | $0.87 \%$ |
| 23 | Switzerland | $4,819,440$ | $0.75 \%$ |
| 24 | Panama | $4,576,599$ | $0.72 \%$ |
| 25 | Saudi Arabia | $4,228,529$ | $0.66 \%$ |
| 26 | Italia | $3,770,360$ | $0.59 \%$ |
| 27 | Brazil | $2,948,566$ | $0.46 \%$ |
| 28 | Poland | $2,853,711$ | $0.45 \%$ |
| 29 | Turkey | $2,663,466$ | $0.42 \%$ |
| 30 | Spain | $2,156,720$ | $0.34 \%$ |
| 31 | Liberia | $2,152,908$ | $0.34 \%$ |
| 32 | New Zealand | $1,841,372$ | $0.29 \%$ |
| 33 | South Africa | $1,667,207$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| 34 | Hungary | $1,582,988$ | $0.25 \%$ |
|  | Others | 3437,244 | $5.37 \%$ |
|  |  | $100.00 \%$ |  |

<Import>

| Ranking | Country |  |  |  | Value | Share |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | China | $163,842,431$ | $25.77 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | United States | $69,625,747$ | $10.95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | Australia | $35,784,604$ | $5.63 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | Taiwan | $26,762,045$ | $4.21 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | South Korea | $26,557,825$ | $4.18 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | Thailand | $23,753,550$ | $3.74 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | Viet Nam | $22,025,435$ | $3.46 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 8 | Germany | $21,273,953$ | $3.35 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | Saudi Arabia | $18,384,592$ | $2.89 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 10 | United Arab Emirates | $16,305,587$ | $2.56 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 11 | Malaysia | $15,898,744$ | $2.50 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 12 | Indonesia | $15,477,546$ | $2.43 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 13 | Canada | $10,931,577$ | $1.72 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 14 | Russia | $10,699,448$ | $1.68 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 15 | Italia | $10,471,183$ | $1.65 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 16 | Philippines | $9,362,936$ | $1.47 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 17 | France | $9,238,268$ | $1.45 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 18 | Qatar | $9,139,655$ | $1.44 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | Singapore | $8,550,761$ | $1.35 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 20 | Switzerland | $7,547,358$ | $1.19 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 21 | Brazil | $7,489,412$ | $1.18 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 22 | Chile | $6,982,832$ | $1.10 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 23 | Ireland | $6,568,914$ | $1.03 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 24 | Great Britain | $6,403,501$ | $1.01 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 25 | South Africa | $5,761,992$ | $0.91 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 26 | Mexico | $5,433,080$ | $0.85 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 27 | India | $4,713,717$ | $0.74 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 28 | Kuwait | $4,535,828$ | $0.71 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 29 | Belgium | $3,254,686$ | $0.51 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 30 | Spain | $3,160,375$ | $0.50 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 31 | Netherlands | $3,091,714$ | $0.49 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 32 | Sweden | $2,823,070$ | $0.44 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 33 | New Zealand | $2,495,429$ | $0.39 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 34 | Peru | $2,329,383$ | $0.37 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | Others | $43,272,395$ | $6.76 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Japan's International Trade in Goods, Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO).

## 4. Summary of Findings

We start by presenting a separate overview of the results for exports and imports. Table 3 shows the invoice currency shares (of the yen, USD, euro, and partner country currency) in Japanese exports in 2020 by trading partner country both on a value basis and in terms of the number of transactions. Moreover, changes in these shares from 2014 are shown in parentheses. Looking at the invoice currency shares (in value terms) in Japan's exports in 2020 shows that for ten of the top 34 export destinations (excluding the United States), the USD makes up the largest share; for nine, the yen makes up the largest share; for 11 , the destination country currency makes up the largest share, and for four, another currency (the euro) makes up the largest share.

Looking at changes from 2014, the currency that saw the broadest decline in its invoicing share in Japanese exports is the U.S. dollar, with 22 out of the 34 countries registering a decrease in the USD share. On the other hand, the invoice currency share of the yen increased for 20 countries, while the share of destination country currency increased in the case of 23 countries. The table thus shows that the US invoice currency share has been decreasing while the shares of the yen and destination country currencies have been increasing. A closer look at Asian countries reveals that the USD share has declined in Japanese exports to eight of the 11 countries. Taiwan, Vietnam, and India are the exceptions, while the share of destination country currencies has been rising. Among emerging market currencies, the largest increases in the destination country currency share were seen in exports to China ( +6.8 percentage points), Brazil ( +5.7 percentage points), and India ( +5.3 percentage points).

Next, comparing the shares based on value and the number of transactions shows several differences. Regarding the number of transactions, the yen accounts for the largest invoice currency share in exports to 22 countries. In comparison, the destination country's currency accounts for the largest share of exports to another six countries. Only in exports to four countries (excluding the United States) does the USD account for the largest invoice currency share. Specifically, in exports to Asia, the yen accounts for most transactions with all countries, suggesting that small-value transactions are invoiced in yen and high-value transactions are invoiced in dollars. Examining Japanese firms' choice of invoice currency through questionnaire surveys, Ito et al. $(2018,2021)$ and Shimizu et al. $(2021)$ showed that the larger a firm, the more likely it was to use the USD for invoicing, and the fact that this pattern is confirmed in the customs declaration data is a major finding. Compared to the shares in 2014, just like on a value basis, when focusing on the number of transactions, there are 24 countries for which the share of Japanese exports invoiced in USD has declined. The share invoiced in the destination country currency has increased in most cases. Among emerging market currencies, the largest Increases in the share invoiced in the destination country currency were seen in exports to Brazil (+10.0 percentage points), China ( +9.3 percentage points), and Russia ( +9.1 percentage points).

Table 3: Invoice Currency Shares by Partner Country (Exports, 2020)

|  |  | Currency Share in Value (2020) |  |  |  | Currency Share in Number of Transaction (2020) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S.dollar | Japanese yen | Euro | Partner Country Currency | U.S.dollar | Japanese yen | Euro | Partner Country Currency |
| Asia | China | $\begin{array}{r} 47.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 9.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 41.8 \% \\ (+2.4 \%) \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \% \\ (+6.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 36.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 9.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.4 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.1 \% \\ (+9.3 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Hong Kong | $\begin{array}{r} 46.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 9.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.5 \% \\ (+9.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (\triangle 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \% \\ (\triangle 1.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 7.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.9 \% \\ (+7.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | South Korea | $\begin{array}{r} 34.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.4 \% \\ (+3.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \% \\ (+3.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} 69.1 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \% \\ (+3.4 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Taiwan | $\begin{array}{r} 44.3 \% \\ (+4.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \% \\ (+1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.7 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{~} 1.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \% \\ (+1.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Thailand | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 2.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.6 \% \\ (\triangle \mathbf{~} 2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.5 \% \\ (+4.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \% \\ (+4.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Malaysia | $\begin{array}{r} 47.4 \% \\ \\ \hline 4.1 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.4 \% \\ (+3.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{x} 1.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.3 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9 \% \\ (+1.2 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Indonesia | $\begin{array}{r} 54.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 39.0 \% \\ (+1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \% \\ (+2.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 5.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.8 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \% \\ (+4.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Singapore | $\begin{array}{r} 59.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.7 \% \\ (+5.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.4 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.7 \% \\ (+1.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\triangle 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \% \\ (+1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Philippines | $\begin{array}{r} 55.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.0 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.7 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Viet Nam | $\begin{array}{r} 65.4 \% \\ (+4.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{~ 4 . 1 \% )} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.3 \% \\ (+0.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | India | $\begin{array}{r} 50.6 \% \\ (+0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 5.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \% \\ (\triangle 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.6 \% \\ (+5.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\triangle 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.4 \% \\ (+4.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Oceania | Australia | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 10.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \% \\ (+2.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.7 \% \\ (+7.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.4 \% \\ (+6.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\triangle 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{6} .2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | New Zealand | $\begin{array}{r} 13.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} .2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (\triangle 0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.8 \% \\ (+14.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \% \\ \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 15.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.3 \% \\ (+17.5 \%) \end{array}$ |
| Europe | Great Britain | $\begin{array}{r} 27.0 \% \\ (+6.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.9 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 8.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.0 \% \\ (+1.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \% \\ (+1.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.6 \% \\ (+3.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.5 \% \\ \left(\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \% \\ \hline \end{array}\right. \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.2 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Switzerland | $\begin{array}{r} 48.1 \% \\ (+8.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 21.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 11.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \% \\ (\triangle 2.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.4 \% \\ (+5.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \% \\ (+6.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Germany | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.7 \% \\ (\triangle 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.7 \% \\ (+1.9 \%) \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 43.1 \% \\ (+1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Netherlands | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\Delta} 3.3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.3 \% \\ (+3.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.4 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.1 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | France | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \% \\ (+1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.7 \% \\ (+17.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.9 \% \\ (\triangle 19.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.3 \% \\ (+2.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Italia | $\begin{array}{r} 11.6 \% \\ (+3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 34.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 7.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.8 \% \\ (+4.1 \%) \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.8 \% \\ (+1.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} .7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Spain | $\begin{array}{r} 10.8 \% \\ (+4.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.2 \% \\ (+2.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.9 \% \\ (\triangle 6.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \% \\ (+1.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.1 \% \\ (+11.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.0 \% \\ (\triangle 13.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | Belgium | $\begin{array}{r} 7.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 3.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.4 \% \\ (+7.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \% \\ (+2.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 1.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.1 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Russia | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 11.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.1 \% \\ (+7.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.7 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.2 \% \\ (+3.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 12.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 61.8 \% \\ (+9.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \% \\ (+9.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Poland | $\begin{array}{r} 24.8 \% \\ (+14.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \% \\ (\triangle \mathbf{3 . 0 \%}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \% \\ (+0.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.8 \% \\ (+1.6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (\triangle 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Hungary | $\begin{array}{r} 6.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 8.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.7 \% \\ (+5.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.6 \% \\ (+3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.9 \% \\ (+3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0\% |
|  | Turkey | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 15.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.5 \% \\ (+23.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1.8 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\wedge} 9.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.1 \% \\ (+9.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \% \\ (+0.9 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  <br> Latin <br> America | United States | $\begin{array}{r} 86.7 \% \\ (\triangle 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 76.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7 \% \\ (+3.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Canada | $\begin{array}{r} 43.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 8.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 9.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \boldsymbol{\wedge} 1.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 46.8 \% \\ (+10.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} 4.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.3 \% \\ (+4.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 65.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 10.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.0 \% \\ (+1.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \% \\ (+9.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 11.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.6 \% \\ (+6.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \% \\ (+4.7 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 50.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 10.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.7 \% \\ (+4.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \% \\ (+5.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 13.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.2 \% \\ (+3.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.9 \% \\ (+10.0 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Panama | $\begin{array}{r} 33.4 \% \\ (+5.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 5.0 \% \end{array}$ | $-0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 27.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.0 \% \\ (+27.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Others | United Arab Emirates | $\begin{array}{r} 35.2 \% \\ (+4.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.0 \% \\ (\triangle 6.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \% \\ (+1.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.5 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Saudi Arabia | $\begin{array}{r} 35.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \% \\ (+0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \triangle 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.8 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 1.3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \% \\ (+0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (\triangle 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | South Africa | $\begin{array}{r} 14.1 \% \\ (+1.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.2 \% \\ (+6.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} .1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} 4.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \% \\ (+5.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.3 \% \\ (+1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Liberia | $\begin{array}{r} 41.0 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 15.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.9 \% \\ (+15.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1.0 \% \\ (\triangle 4.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.0 \% \\ (+4.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0\% |

Note: Figures in parentheses show the change in invoice currency shares from 2014 to 2020. The asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ denotes cases where the partner country currency is the USD or the euro. The minus sign $(-)$ denotes cases where it is difficult to state the figures as a percentage due to the absence of actual results or because the value is minimal.

Next, Table 4 shows the invoice currency shares (for the yen, USD, euro, and partner country currency) for imports in 2020 by country of origin. Among the top 34 countries of origin in 2020, the USD accounts for the largest invoice currency share (in value terms) for 17 countries (excluding the United States), the yen accounts for the largest share for 15 countries, and the partner country's currency accounts for the largest share for two countries.

The USD invoice share is highest for imports from the oil-producing countries of the Middle East: for all four countries in the list, it is over $95 \%$. Other countries for which the USD invoice share is high are South Africa (77.1\%), Brazil (76.3\%), and Russia (74.3\%), imports from which are mainly resource-related. The yen invoice share is highest for imports from Ireland (77.4\%), Sweden (74.8\%), and Chile (70.4\%), and overall, the yen invoice share tends to be high for imports from Europe. Apart from the United States (71.8\%), the country with the highest country of their currency share is the Netherlands, with $43.8 \%$.

Comparing the shares in 2020 with those in 2014 shows that the currency with the most widespread decline in use in Japanese imports is the USD, with the share falling for imports from 23 out of the 34 countries. A decline follows this in using partner country currencies, whose invoice share declined in the imports from 14 countries (excluding the United States). Reflecting these declines, the invoice currency share of the yen increased in imports from 24 countries, showing that, as a trend, the USD share has declined, and the yen share has increased. This is particularly the case of imports from Europe, where the invoicing share of the yen has risen for eight of the 11 countries. The most significant increases in the yen invoicing share were observed in imports from Italy ( +21.0 percentage points), Singapore $(+17.3$ percentage points), and Switzerland ( +15.7 percentage points).

As for exports, differences can be seen between the value-based and transaction-based shares. On a transaction basis, the USD accounts for the largest share of imports from 21 countries, followed by the yen and the partner country currency, which account for the largest shares of imports from six and seven countries (excluding the United States), respectively. This suggests that - unlike in the case of exports - when it comes to imports, small-value transactions tend to be invoiced in USD, while high-value transactions tend to be invoiced in yen. Next, the comparison with 2014 indicates that the USD invoice share declined in imports from 20 countries, which is similar to the result on a value basis, and the yen invoice share increased in the imports from most of these countries. The share of invoices in the partner country currency has not increased much, with imports from Thailand ( +3.5 percentage points) and China ( +3.2 percentage points) seeing the largest increases in partner currency shares among emerging market currencies.

Table 4: Invoice Currency Shares by Partner Country (Imports, 2020)

|  |  | Currency Share in value (2020) |  |  |  | Currency Share in Number of Transaction (2020) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S.dollar | Japanese yen | Euro | Partner Country Currency | U.S.dollar | Japanese yen | Euro | Partner Country Currency |
| Asia | China | $\begin{array}{r} 72.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 21.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 0.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \% \\ (+2.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.6 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | South Korea | $\begin{array}{r} 37.4 \% \\ (\triangle 4.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.7 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \triangle 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.9 \% \\ (+1.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Hong Kong | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \% \\ (\triangle 0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.0 \% \\ (+1.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 0.5 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.3 \% \\ (+3.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Taiwan | $\begin{array}{r} 73.1 \% \\ (+2.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.0 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 2.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.9 \% \\ (+2.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Thailand | $\begin{array}{r} 49.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{x} 2.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.9 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 0.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.8 \% \\ (+3.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.2 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.8 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{~} 4.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 0.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \% \\ (+3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Malaysia | $\begin{array}{r} 65.5 \% \\ (+17.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 18.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.2 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \% \\ (+1.7 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Indonesia | $\begin{array}{r} 62.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 11.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.5 \% \\ (+10.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \% \\ (+0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \% \\ (+1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \% \\ (\triangle 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \% \\ (\triangle 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Singapore | $\begin{array}{r} 40.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle 1 6 . 0 \%}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.5 \% \\ (+17.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\triangle} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 4.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.5 \% \\ (+5.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \% \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.2 \% \\ (\triangle 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Philippines | $\begin{array}{r} 40.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.4 \% \\ (+3.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.6 \% \\ (+1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \% \\ (\triangle 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Viet Nam | $\begin{array}{r} 66.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 13.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.1 \% \\ (+13.6 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.2 \% \\ \left(\begin{array}{\|} 3.5 \% \end{array}\right. \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.8 \% \\ (+3.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | India | $\begin{array}{r} 78.5 \% \\ (\triangle 5.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \% \\ (+4.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.5 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{1} 1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \% \\ (+0.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Oceania | Australia | $\begin{array}{r} 53.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.4 \% \\ (+0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.3 \% \\ (+3.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | New Zealand | $\begin{array}{r} 26.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 9.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.4 \% \\ (+3.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.8 \% \\ (+4.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.4 \% \\ (\triangle 5.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 45.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} 4.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \% \\ (\triangle 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.0 \% \\ (+8.8 \%) \end{array}$ |
| Europe | Great Britain | $\begin{array}{r} 32.1 \% \\ (+3.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1 \% \\ (+6.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \% \\ (\triangle 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 7.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \% \\ (+1.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.5 \% \\ (+2.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \% \\ (\triangle 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.2 \% \\ (\triangle 3.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Switzerland | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 6.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 2.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 61.4 \% \\ (+15.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.5 \% \\ (\triangle 14.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \% \\ (+0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 44.6 \% \\ (+4.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.5 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 7.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Germany | $\begin{array}{r} 9.5 \% \\ (+3.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.8 \% \\ (+1.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 9.5 \% \\ (+1.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.1 \% \\ (+2.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Italia | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.5 \% \\ (+21.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.6 \% \\ (\boldsymbol{\Delta} 19.9 \%) \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \% \\ (\triangle 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.5 \% \\ (+10.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 9.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | France | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \% \\ (+4.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.1 \% \\ (+2.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 9.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \% \\ \\ \hline \mathbf{\Delta} 0.5 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.6 \% \\ (+2.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 46.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Spain | $\begin{array}{r} 7.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 12.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.6 \% \\ (+7.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \% \\ (+0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.9 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 4.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Ireland | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \% \\ (+5.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 77.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 28.8 \% \\ (+9.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 7.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \% \\ \\ \hline \boldsymbol{\Delta} 2.6 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Belgium | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 9.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\triangle} 5.6 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} .1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \% \\ (+4.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Netherlands | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \% \\ (+3.2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 27.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.8 \% \\ (+18.3 \%) \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 12.3 \% \\ (+1.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 12.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.0 \% \\ (+10.8 \%) \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Sweden | $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 1.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.8 \% \\ (+9.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{4} .5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \% \\ \mathbf{\triangle} 3.9 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.9 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.9 \% \\ (+1.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \% \\ (+2.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.1 \% \\ \mathbf{4} 4.1 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Russia | $\begin{array}{r} 74.3 \% \\ (\triangle 4.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.3 \% \\ (+4.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.0 \% \\ (+1.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 6.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \% \\ (+4.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \% \\ (+0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  <br> Latin <br> America | United States | $\begin{array}{r} 71.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.4 \% \\ (+4.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} 80.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \% \\ (+2.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | * |
|  | Canada | $\begin{array}{r} 51.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 10.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 44.9 \% \\ (+11.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (\triangle 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \% \\ (\triangle \mathbf{~} 0.4 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.8 \% \\ (+3.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 2.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Mexico | $\begin{array}{r} 57.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 7.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 41.0 \% \\ (+8.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.4 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 4.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \% \\ (+4.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Brazil | $\begin{array}{r} 76.3 \% \\ (+3.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 3.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 8.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \% \\ (+8.5 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \% \\ (+0.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Chili | $\begin{array}{r} 29.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{~} 5.2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.4 \% \\ (+5.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.7 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 8.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.0 \% \\ (+7.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0\% |
| Others | United Arab Emirates | $\begin{array}{r} 96.7 \% \\ (+14.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 14.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.7 \% \\ (+4.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{4 . 5 \%}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \% \\ (+0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Saudi Arabia | $\begin{array}{r} 97.0 \% \\ \\ \hline 1.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \% \\ (+1.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ -\quad 0 \end{array}$ | $-\quad 0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.9 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5 \% \\ (+0.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Kuwait | $\begin{array}{r} 96.2 \% \\ (\triangle 3.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.8 \% \\ (+3.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.5 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.7 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  | Qatar | $\begin{array}{r} 98.2 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \% \\ (\triangle \mathbf{0 . 7 \%}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \% \\ (+0.0 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 0.0 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.1 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \% \\ (+0.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \% \\ (\triangle 0.4 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | South Africa | $\begin{array}{r} 77.1 \% \\ (+5.2 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \% \\ (+0.7 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 5.9 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \% \\ (+0.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.0 \% \\ (\mathbf{\Delta} 1.5 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1 \% \\ (+1.1 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \% \\ (\mathbf{1} 1.1 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \% \\ (+0.3 \%) \end{array}$ |

Note: Figures in parentheses show the change in invoice currency shares from 2014 to 2020. The asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ denotes cases where the partner country currency is the USD or the euro. The minus sign $(-)$ denotes cases where it is difficult to state the figures as a percentage due to the absence of actual results or because the value is minimal.

As we showed, this study provides an overview of the choice of invoice currency in Japan's exports and imports to and from the top 34 partner countries. The overall trend is toward a declining share of the USD in exports and imports. Moreover, this trend was found to be more pronounced for exports. Further, not only were there differences in invoice currency shares between imports and exports, but they also differed by region. The following summarizes the patterns in invoice currency shares by region, first for exports and then for imports. ${ }^{4}$

## Exports to Asia

- In terms of the invoice currency shares in Japan's exports, Asian countries can be divided into three groups. The first group comprises China, Indonesia, Singapore, the Philippines, Vietnam, and India, for which the USD is the dominant invoice currency. The second group has only one country, South Korea, for which the yen is the dominant invoice currency. The third group comprises Hong Kong, Taiwan, Thailand, and Malaysia, for which the USD and the yen are on par. Why these differences are observed, even though these countries all fall into the same region and are among Japan's major trading partners, is something that requires further analysis, focusing, for example, on differences in major commodities and price competitiveness in exports to these countries.
- In recent years, the share of Japanese exports invoiced in USD has decreased, while the share invoiced in yen and the destination country's currency has increased. This is especially the case in exports to Asia. In terms of invoicing in destination country currencies, the use of the Chinese yuan, the Thai baht, and the Indian rupee has seen particularly notable increases. On the other hand, USD invoicing has increased exports to Taiwan and Vietnam, which have seen a rise in Japanese exports in recent years. In contrast, the invoicing share of the USD overall has remained unchanged when aggregating data for Asia as a whole. Thus, a key finding is that the data used in this study made it possible to identify country-specific characteristics that cannot be observed from the data compiled for the Asian region.
- Another finding is that in Japan's exports to Asia, there are notable differences in invoice currency shares on a value basis and a transactions basis. For the yen, the share tends to be more prominent on a transaction basis than on a value basis, while for the USD, the opposite is the case. This suggests that larger transactions, such as intra-firm trade, tend

[^3]to be invoiced in USD, while relatively small transactions are invoiced in yen. This is another new finding of this study.

## Exports to Oceania

- Since Australia and New Zealand are developed countries, there is a strong tendency for exports to both countries to be mainly invoiced in the partner country's currency or yen. When the partner country's currency is a hard currency, as in the case of these two countries, the USD is ranked third.


## Exports to Europe

- For exports to countries that have adopted the euro, the euro accounts for most countries' largest share on a value basis, followed by the yen. However, on a transaction number basis, the yen share is higher and almost on par with the share of exports invoiced in euros. This indicates that the pattern of the yen being used for relatively small transactions and the euro being used for larger amounts is also evident in exports to Europe.
- In exports to countries that have not adopted the euro, such as the UK and Switzerland, the share of the USD tends to be higher than in exports to other European countries.
- Exports to Eastern European countries and Turkey are predominantly invoiced in euros. This pattern has intensified in recent years in exports to Turkey.
- In exports to Russia, the Russian ruble accounts for the largest share on a value basis, remaining above $30 \%$ throughout the entire period. However, on a transaction number basis, the ruble invoice share is about 20 percentage points lower than on a value basis. On a transaction number basis, the yen accounts for the largest share, suggesting that the ruble is used as the invoice currency for large export transactions. In contrast, the yen is used for relatively small export transactions.


## Exports to North America and Central and South America

- In exports to the United States and Mexico, the USD accounts for the largest share on a value and a transaction basis, while in exports to Canada, the USD and the Canadian dollar are on par on a value basis. Moreover, in exports to the United States, there has been no notable change in this pattern. However, in exports to Canada and Mexico, recent years have seen a shift from the USD to the destination country currencies - i.e., the Canadian dollar and the Mexican peso. Meanwhile, in exports to Brazil, the invoice share of the USD has also been declining, while the shares of the yen and the Brazilian real have been increasing.
Exports to other regions
- The yen tends to account for the largest invoice share in exports to the oil-producing countries of the Middle East. Our previous studies, which indicate that the yen invoice
is usually selected only when exporting competitive or differentiated goods, suggest that Japanese firms use the yen to invoice the differentiated goods they export to Middle Eastern countries.
- In exports to South Africa, the euro and the yen are widely used as invoice currency.

Next, we summarize the pattern of invoice currency shares for imports by region. Since imports from the United States and resource-rich countries, which are among Japan's top trading partners, are denominated mainly in USD, the invoice share of the USD in Japan's imports is high, and there has been little change in this pattern.

## Imports from Asia

- Regarding Japanese imports from Asia, the number of countries for which the USD makes up the largest invoice share in Japanese imports is larger than the corresponding number for exports. Specifically, while the yen accounts for the largest invoice share in imports from Hong Kong, South Korea, Singapore, and the Philippines, the USD makes up the largest share in imports from all other countries. Among these, the USD invoice share has increased in recent years in imports from Taiwan and Malaysia, while the yen invoice share in imports from these countries has tended to decline. A possible explanation for this trend is the large volume of imported goods commonly invoiced in USD, such as electronic and electrical parts and components.
- Asian countries for which the USD invoice share in Japan's imports has been declining and the yen invoice share has been rising are South Korea, Indonesia, Singapore, the Philippines, Vietnam, and India.
- Comparing shares on a value basis and a transaction number basis shows that in imports from many countries, the yen invoice share is higher on a value basis than on a transaction basis. This pattern contrasts with exports and is also observed for imports from many non-Asian countries.
Imports from Oceania
- Unlike exports to Oceania, in the case of imports, USD invoicing is used more than invoicing in the partner country's currency. This is likely due to the high share of primary commodities in imports from the region. While there has been no change in the invoice currency pattern in imports from Australia, in imports from New Zealand, there has been a slight downward trend in U.S. dollar invoicing and an upward trend in New Zealand dollar invoicing.
Imports from Europe
- In trade with Europe, the share of imports invoiced in yen has increased, except for imports from France, Ireland, Belgium, and the Netherlands. In trade with the UK and

Switzerland, the USD shares in imports and exports have decreased, while the yen and partner currency shares have increased markedly. We conjecture that the yen invoice share in imports from European countries is higher than in imports from other regions, which indicates that European firms have adopted a PTM strategy for their Japanese customers. However, the pattern varies from country to country, and further analysis is needed.

- On a value basis, most imports from Europe are invoiced in yen; on a transaction number basis, the majority are invoiced in euros. Further analysis is needed to examine how this relates to the PTM strategies of European firms.
- In imports from Russia, USD invoicing accounts for a large share. This likely mainly reflects that most imports from Russia consist of natural resources.

Imports from North America and Central and South America

- In imports from the United States, the share of USD invoicing has been decreasing, while the share of yen invoicing has been increasing, although these changes have been relatively small. The reason for these trends and whether they are likely to continue will require particular analysis, mainly since the United States is Japan's second-largest import partner.
- Imports from Canada and Mexico have seen a marked decrease in the USD share and an increase in the yen share since 2019. This period coincides with the tentative agreement of the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) to replace the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
Imports from other regions
- In imports from the Middle East, which primarily consist of resource imports, the USD invoice share remains close to $100 \%$. Similarly, imports from South Africa remain predominantly invoiced in USD, and the USD share has increased. This strong preference for USD invoicing in resource imports is responsible for USD invoicing continuing to dominate Japan's imports.

Previously published data on trade invoice currency shares by region showed no substantial changes in the high share of the USD in Japanese firms' choice of invoice currency. Moreover, many respondents indicated that they do not change their invoice currency much in the firm surveys conducted by Ito et al. (2010, 2011, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2019). However, the country-level analysis in this study using customs data showed that during the observation period from 2014 to 2020, a certain degree of change in the invoice currency shares was observed in the imports from and exports to individual countries. There are various possible reasons for this. For example, we conjecture that the trend of a decline in USD invoicing and a rise in partner currency invoicing in trade with Asian countries suggests that in the intra-firm trade as part of the supply chains
developed in Asia, firms are beginning to adopt strategies to mitigate foreign exchange risks for their local subsidiaries by using their local currencies. Moreover, in transactions with Europe, especially on the import side, yen invoicing is rising, suggesting that European firms are increasingly pursuing a PTM strategy toward Japan. On the other hand, for some countries, such as the United States and Germany, there has not been much change in invoice currency shares.

## 5. Empirical analysis: Determinants of invoice currency choice in Japan

Various empirical investigations of the determinants of invoice currency choice have been conducted in previous studies. Typical examples are Goldberg and Tille (2008), which uses 24 sample countries and provides evidence that the export share to the United States matters, and transaction costs play a minor role. Kamps (2006) uses 42 countries and confirms that a country's membership or prospective membership in the EU plays a decisive role in choosing the euro as an invoicing currency in its trade. As for a country analysis, Friberg and Wilander (2008) performs an econometric analysis on currency invoicing in Swedish exports based on questionnaire survey and confirms that firms who sell differentiated products are more likely to use kronor as their main currency for exports.

Those conducted using customs data from undisclosed countries include the following. Goldberg and Tille (2016), who use Canadian import transactions, conclude that the invoicing choice results from a bargaining process between trading partners. Chung (2016), who uses UK export customs data, shows that UK exporters relying on foreign currency-denominated imported inputs are less likely to invoice in their home currency. Chen, Chung, and Novy (2022) used UK import customs data and showed that Vehicle Currency Pricing (VCP) is pervasive for UK imports, with more than half of the transactions in their sample invoiced in neither sterling nor the exporter's currency.

An empirical study of invoice currency for Japanese firms is presented by Ito, Koibuchi, Sato, and Shimizu (2010, 2013, 2016). Interviews and questionnaire surveys confirm that the USD invoiced share is substantial in Japanese exports, which contrasts markedly with advanced countries' exports where exporters' currency is typically chosen for export invoicing. This is mainly due to the behaviour of large firms in unifying their transactions in their supply chains in USD from the perspective of exchange rate risk management. Ito et al.'s analysis $(2010,2016)$ has been based on firm-level data, but the number of sample firms was small. The data used in this empirical analysis is invoice currency share data, constructed by aggregating customs data by country, including all trade transactions in Japan. As indicated in the previous section, the invoice currency share of Japan's trades varies between the yen (PCP), the U.S. dollar (VCP), and the partner countries' currency (LCP) widely from country to country and even from year to year.

Although all are trade transactions with Japan, they can be regarded as representing the invoice currency choice of trading partner countries. Analyzing the factors and using explanatory variables that show the characteristics of each country would be an exciting study for predicting future trends of invoice currencies in world trade.

## 5-1. Model and explanatory variables

In this section, we empirically investigate the determinants of currency shares for Japan's export and import invoicing using the cross-partner country time-series data discussed in the previous section. This analysis allows us to investigate the conditions of Japan and its partner economies and trade characteristics that would affect the choice of a currency for Japan's trade invoicing. We conduct panel data analysis with 34 countries from 2014 through 2020. In this analysis, we investigate the yen invoicing (PCP), the USD invoicing (VCP), and the partner countries' currency invoicing (LCP).

Our specification on the share of invoice currency for export or import invoicing is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I C S_{i, t}^{c}=\alpha_{0}^{c}+\alpha_{1}^{c} \cdot M F_{i, t}+\alpha_{2}^{c} \cdot E R_{i, t}+\alpha_{3}^{c} \cdot P N_{i, t}+\alpha_{4}^{c} \cdot F M_{i, t}+\varepsilon_{i, t}^{c} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I C S_{i, t}^{c}$ is the invoice currency share of major currency C (either one of the yen, the USD and the partner country's local currency) used for invoicing exports to or imports from country $i$ in year $t$. Vector $M F_{i, t}$ represents the fundamental macroeconomic factors of country $i$, including country $i$ 's real GDP, country $i$ 's inflation differentials vis-à-vis Japan, country $i$ 's per capita income level relative to the United States, and the total value of country $i$ 's export to, or import from Japan.

Vector $E R_{i, t}$ represents the exchange rate and system factors country $i$, including country $i$ 's currency's nominal exchange rate vis-à-vis the yen, nominal exchange rate volatility (standard deviation of nominal exchange rate in previous two years), transaction cost calculated by currency $i$ 's bid-ask spread of the exchange rate vis-à-vis the yen from Bloomberg quotation, and the USD coefficient of Frankel and Wei (1994) model which indicates the country's exchange rate system.

Vector $P N_{i, t}$ represents the production network factors of country $i$ with Japan, including country $i$ 's share of intermediate goods in exports from Japan and country $i$ 's number of Japanese subsidiaries, and country $i$ 's share of imports from Japan in the country $i$ 's imports. In the analysis of import invoicing, we use additional factors to indicate the types of goods by processing stage, the share of primary goods, intermediate goods, and final goods in import to Japan based on the RIETI TID2020 database ${ }^{5}$. Vector $F M_{i, t}$ represents the degree of country i's financial market

[^4]development including the degree of country $i$ 's financial openness (The Chinn-Ito index) and ratio of total foreign assets and liabilities to nominal GDP followed by Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2003). In all sample countries, the panel is unbalanced because, in some cases, not all of the above data were available ${ }^{6}$. We chose a fixed effect model that included the year and country fixed effects.

Based on the previous literature on trade invoicing, we decided on the above explanatory variables for fundamental economic factors. Before discussing the empirical analysis's results, we will explain the meanings and expected coefficients of these explanatory variables.

The first determinant, suggested by many previous studies like Bacchetta and van Wincoop (2005), is the relative size of the exporting and importing countries. Firms in any country have some preference for invoicing in their currency to reduce their exchange rate risks. Such a "coalescing effect" (Goldberg and Tille, 2008) can positively affect a country's real GDP and local currency invoicing with Japan. In addition, which country bears the currency risk depends on the strength of the bargaining power between the two countries. Let us consider that trade with countries with relatively sizeable real GDP means that the power of those customers is vital. We expect a negative sign for the yen invoicing and a positive sign for the Local Currency invoicing. As the "coalescing effects" in Goldberg and Tille (2008), we add the indicator of relative income, which is a country's per capita income relative to that of the United States as a proxy for the economy's capacity to produce differentiated goods follows by Ito and Kawai (2016). Firms of highly differentiated goods may have bargaining power in the market, so we expect a positive for yen or local currency invoicing and a negative for USD invoicing.

Inflation differentials used to be included in many previous studies, which suggested that firms do not tend to use the currency with high inflation. It worked well when analyzing the European economy with high inflation rates, which tended to use the DM rather than other European currencies, such as Italian lira and Spanish peseta in the 1980s. This analysis covers trade with Japan, and since Japan's inflation rate is relatively low relative to other neighboring countries for the sample period, it will be interesting to see how this impacts trade invoicing with Japan. If Japan's trading partners are unwilling to reserve or accept the yen as a trade invoicing currency because holding yen does not provide any interest income, they prefer to use the USD, vehicle currency invoicing rather than the yen. Accordingly, we expect a negative effect on yen invoicing.

We use the nominal exchange rate (in logarithm) and its volatilities regarding the exchange rate-related factors. Japan's trading partners include many Asian countries. The currencies of these countries are not yet internationalized, and their exchange rates tend to be volatile, especially

[^5]against the yen, which is one reason for the preference for the USD in trade with Asian countries. If the volatility is high, it is likely to negatively impact the yen invoicing and positively affect the USD invoicing. Recently, Asian currencies have been holding firm against the yen on the back of high growth potential, and it will be interesting to see how such changes in nominal exchange rates affect these currencies. In Addition, we use the USD coefficient of the Frankel and Wei (1994) model, which indicates the country's exchange rate arrangement. As explained previously, some Asian countries have policies to stabilize the U.S. dollar, so dollar invoicing is preferred in those countries.

Previous studies have shown that production network-related explanatory variables are essential in Japanese trade invoicing. According to Ito et al. (2010, 2013, 2016, 2018, 2021), Japanese firms' choice of invoice currency depends primarily on whether the trade is intra-firm, and they tend not to use the yen to avoid imposing exchange rate risk on their overseas subsidiaries. In this analysis, we use the intermediate goods trade share as a proxy variable for the share of intra-firm trade, predicting that a higher share has a more negative impact on yen invoicing. The number of foreign subsidiaries per country is similarly used as a proxy variable for the share of intra-firm trade. For imports, while most imports of primary commodities such as crude oil are denominated in USD, we also add explanatory variables related to the nature of imported goods, given the recent tendency to use yen invoicing for final goods imports, especially from European and Asian countries.

## 5-2. Export Results

We run regression equation (1) for yen, the USD, and the partner's country currency share on a value basis. We do the same regression for the robustness check using the dataset with share on a transaction number basis, and the dataset without US transactions (Appendix 2 and 3).

Table 5 reports the results for estimating invoice currency share of the yen based on export value. First, we confirm that the inflation differentials negatively affect the yen share for export invoicing. How can we interpret the result that the inflation rate differential has a negative impact on yen invoicing? For example, when exports are invoiced in yen, importers in the counterpart firms must hold yen as a reserve for payment, but since the yen interest rate is near zero, there is virtually no return to be gained by holding yen. Thus, the implication is that the importers from Japan do not want to be paid in yen. As expected, the intermediate goods share in exports from Japan to the country is found to have a negative impact on the yen invoicing. In the results using the dataset with share on a transaction number basis, we confirm that the volatilities of the nominal exchange rate negatively affect the yen share for export invoicing (Appendix 2).

Table 5: Determinants of Japanese Yen Invoicing in Export (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0535 \\ & (0.1390) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0722 \\ & (0.1250) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0248 \\ (0.0744) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0294 \\ (0.0942) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0263 \\ (0.0751) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0197 \\ (0.0950) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0456 \\ (0.0888) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Exchange Rate Volatiriy |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.139 \\ (0.1460) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2320 \\ & (0.1650) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1870 \\ & (0.1930) \end{aligned}$ |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0243 \\ (0.0194) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0241 \\ (0.0193) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0212 \\ (0.0178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0143 \\ (0.0188) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0117 \\ (0.0183) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0215 \\ (0.0204) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0205 \\ (0.0207) \end{gathered}$ |
| Infration Differential |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0065^{* *} \\ (0.0030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0065 * * \\ (0.0029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0069^{* *} \\ (0.0033) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0065^{* *} \\ (0.0028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0060^{* *} \\ (0.0027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0066^{*} \\ & (0.0035) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0060^{*} \\ & (0.0035) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0084 \\ (0.0660) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0013 \\ (0.0747) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0470 \\ & (0.0716) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0162 \\ & (0.0543) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0346 \\ & (0.0530) \end{aligned}$ |
| lnTotal Export |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (0.0692) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Share of Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  | -0.1522* | -0.1472** | -0.1602** | -0.1570** |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0748) | (0.0666) | (0.0689) | (0.0684) |
| lnFinancial Openness |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.076 \\ (0.0506) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0575 \\ & (0.0479) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.186 \\ (0.1260) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0006 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5190 \\ & (0.5190) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} 0.491 \\ -0.355 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.547 * \\ & -0.316 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.268 \\ -0.194 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.314 \\ -0.327 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.648 \\ & -1.867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.369 \\ -0.348 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.985 * * \\ -0.473 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.808^{*} \\ & -0.443 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.683 * * \\ -0.329 \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 231 | 231 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 203 |
| Number of country | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| Within R-squared | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.114 | 0.136 | 0.154 | 0.208 | 0.228 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses
*** $\mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05, * \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Table 6 reports the results for estimating the local currency's invoice currency share based on export value. We confirm that the Frankel and Wei coefficient (hereafter, F.W. coefficient) has a negative impact on the local currency invoicing as expected. Although we have no other significant results in the share based on trade value, we have more significant results using the dataset with share on a transaction number basis (Appendix 2). The local currency share is negatively affected by the inflation differentials, and these results are identical to those for the yen share. In addition, the volatility of their nominal exchange rate vis-à-vis the Japanese yen also has a negative impact on the local currency share. Interestingly, the relative income positively impacts the local currency invoicing, which suggests that Japanese firms export to countries with relatively high GDP per capita using the country's currency.

Table 6: Determinants of Local Currency Invoicing in Export (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect | Local <br> Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0016 \\ & (0.0194) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0132 \\ (0.0253) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0276 \\ (0.0239) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0130 \\ (0.0291) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0263 \\ (0.0236) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0112 \\ (0.0285) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0126 \\ (0.0297) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Exchange Rate Volatiriy |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.111 \\ (0.0956) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0959 \\ (0.1180) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0939 \\ (0.1200) \end{gathered}$ |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0536^{* *} \\ (0.0227) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0532 * * \\ (0.0220) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0512 * * \\ (0.0229) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0457 * * \\ (0.0218) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0459 * * \\ (0.0221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0441^{*} \\ & (0.0226) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0507 * * \\ (0.0219) \end{gathered}$ |
| Infration |  |  | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.00045 | 0.00169 |
| Differential |  |  | (0.0021) | (0.0022) | (0.0019) | (0.0020) | (0.0020) | (0.0019) | (0.0019) |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0270 \\ (0.0545) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0080 \\ (0.0517) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0054 \\ (0.0490) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0242 \\ (0.0446) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0157 \\ (0.0467) \end{gathered}$ |
| lnTotal Export |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.024 \\ (0.0196) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Share of |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0599 | -0.0597 | -0.0691 | -0.122 |
| Intermediate Goods |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0643) | (0.0643) | (0.0640) | (0.0808) |
| lnFinancial |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0041 | -0.0014 |  |
| Openness |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0454) | (0.0436) |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0261 \\ (0.0249) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0011 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.5230 \\ (0.4700) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | 0.224*** | 0.179** | 0.186*** | 0.040 | 0.853 | 0.207 | 0.240 | 0.254 | 0.613 |
|  | -0.0499 | -0.0677 | -0.0565 | -0.32 | -0.564 | -0.288 | -0.36 | -0.355 | -0.362 |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 230 | 230 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 203 |
| Number of country | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| Within R-squared | 0.032 | 0.035 | 0.072 | 0.073 | 0.082 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.103 | 0.16 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses
*** $\mathrm{p}<0.01$, ** $\mathrm{p}<0.05, * \mathrm{p}<0.1$

Table 7 reports the results for estimating USD invoice currency share based on export value. At first, the yen's depreciation increases the USD invoicing. In addition, the Japanese exporter chooses USD invoicing if the F.W. coefficient is higher, i.e., if the exporting partner has an exchange rate policy linked to the dollar. Although we have no significant results about the inflation differentials in the share based on trade value, we have significant results using the dataset with share on a transaction number basis (Appendix 2). Contrary to the yen and the local currency invoicing, the inflation differentials positively affect the USD share. During this analysis's sample period, Japan's inflation was generally low relative to other countries. Countries with large inflation rate disparities with Japan are often emerging rather than advanced countries. The results suggest that exporters to emerging markets with high inflation rates choose the USD, vehicle currency invoicing. Contrary to the yen case, a higher intermediate goods trade share results in more dollar-invoiced exports. This result is consistent with our previous research showing that intra-firm trade with foreign subsidiaries tends to unify all their trade transactions with the USD. Interestingly, the relative income has a negative impact on the USD invoicing,
which suggests that Japanese firms export to countries with relatively high GDP per capita using their local currency invoicing, not the USD, a vehicle currency invoicing ${ }^{7}$.

Table 7: Determinants of the USD Invoicing in Export (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lnExchange Rate | $\begin{gathered} 0.150^{*} \\ (0.0785) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.143 * \\ (0.0757) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0726^{*} \\ & (0.0407) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.112 * \\ (0.0643) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0717^{*} \\ & (0.0401) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.125^{*} \\ (0.0635) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.113 * \\ (0.0586) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Exchange Rate |  | -0.0519 |  |  |  |  |  | -0.107 | -0.0706 |
| Volatiriy |  | -0.166 |  |  |  |  |  | (0.1380) | (0.1920) |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0244 \\ (0.0211) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0232 \\ (0.0212) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0263 \\ (0.0201) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0274 \\ (0.0214) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0286 \\ (0.0207) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0387^{*} \\ & (0.0213) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0460^{* *} \\ & (0.0190) \end{aligned}$ |
| Infration Differential |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0040 \\ & (0.0029) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0042 \\ & (0.0030) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0042 \\ & (0.0033) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0038 \\ & (0.0028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0035 \\ & (0.0028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0038 \\ (0.0033) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ (0.0030) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0729 \\ (0.0771) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0648 \\ (0.0679) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0418 \\ (0.0652) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0145 \\ (0.0512) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.074 \\ (0.0555) \end{gathered}$ |
| lnTotal Export |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0208 \\ (0.0721) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Share of Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2241** | 0.2212** | 0.1821** | 0.1992** |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0978) | (0.0947) | (0.0761) | (0.0910) |
| lnFinancial Openness |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0363 \\ (0.0555) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0462 \\ (0.0523) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1740 \\ & (0.1340) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0026^{*} \\ & (0.0014) \end{aligned}$ |
| Import Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.6350 \\ (0.5720) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | -0.014 | 0.007 | 0.160 | 0.555 | 0.739 | 0.341 | 0.047 | 0.163 | -0.351 |
|  | -0.202 | -0.195 | -0.102 | -0.421 | -1.972 | -0.357 | -0.476 | -0.467 | -0.414 |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 231 | 231 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 203 |
| Number of country | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| Within R-squared | 0.118 | 0.118 | 0.07 | 0.078 | 0.075 | 0.13 | 0.134 | 0.171 | 0.239 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses
*** $\mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05, * \mathrm{p}<0.1$

The almost same analysis was performed with the share of the number of transactions as the explained variable and with data excluding trade to the United States from the sample, with almost the same results. A table of these results is presented in the Appendix 2 and 3.

## 5-3. Import Results

Next, we show the results for import. Table 8 reports the results for estimating invoice currency share of the yen based on value in import. Contrary to the results in exports, the inflation differentials positively affect the yen share for import invoicing. The most interesting result is that the export share of the final goods is positive in yen invoicing. Just as Japanese firms choose the

[^6]partner currency for important export destinations and adopt a PTM strategy, we confirm that foreign firms exporting to Japan adopt a PTM strategy when exporting to Japan because they consider Japan to be an essential market for their final goods exports.

Table 8: Determinants of the Yen Invoicing in Import (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { JPY } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect | JPY <br> Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{gathered} 0.0133 \\ (0.0339) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0252 \\ (0.0285) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00660 \\ & (0.0319) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0326 \\ (0.0284) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0215 \\ (0.0265) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0219 \\ (0.0273) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0293 \\ (0.0277) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0202 \\ (0.0274) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0228 \\ (0.0286) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0228 \\ (0.0277) \end{gathered}$ |
| Exchange Rate Volatiriy |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1680 \\ (0.1030) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0904 \\ (0.1030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1220 \\ (0.1000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1391 \\ (0.1050) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0743 \\ (0.0991) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1612 \\ (0.1090) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1800 \\ (0.1080) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1353 \\ (0.1080) \end{gathered}$ |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0114 \\ (0.0166) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infration |  |  |  | 0.0017* | 0.0010 | 0.0013 | 0.0013 | 0.0012 | 0.0011 | 0.0013 |
| Differential |  |  |  | (0.0009) | (0.0011) | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0009) | (0.0009) |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0423 \\ (0.0354) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lnTotal Import |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0144 \\ (0.0154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0090 \\ (0.0142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0193 \\ (0.0161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0092 \\ (0.0141) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0117 \\ (0.0135) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0092 \\ (0.0141) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ifopen |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0296 \\ (0.0236) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0085 \\ (0.0188) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0099 \\ (0.0209) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0108 \\ (0.0192) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0205 \\ (0.0174) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0090 \\ (0.0182) \end{gathered}$ |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0515 \\ (0.0319) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Share of Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0355 \\ (0.0321) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Share of Final |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1230** |  |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0543) |  |
| Share of Primary Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0163 \\ (0.0246) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} 0.2691 * * * \\ (0.0717) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2352^{* * *} \\ (0.0603) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2760^{* * *} \\ (0.0682) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5080^{* *} \\ (0.2400) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.3010 \\ & (0.5110) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0062 \\ (0.4160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1030 \\ (0.4330) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0053 \\ (0.4160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1290 \\ (0.3980) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0116 \\ (0.4150) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| Number of country | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Within R-squared | 0.0555 | 0.0756 | 0.0615 | 0.1080 | 0.1040 | 0.0907 | 0.1390 | 0.1080 | 0.1730 | 0.0936 |

Table 9 reports the results for estimating the local currency's invoice currency share based on import value. We find that the volatility in the exchange rate against the yen positively impacts local currency invoicing. This means that import partners prefer to be paid in their currency rather than in the yen or the USD when the exchange rate against the yen is highly volatile. The total import value negatively affects local currency invoicing. This implies that the large value of Japan's imports means that Japan is an important export partner and does not use its currency to impose foreign exchange risk on Japanese firms. It was also confirmed that the higher the transaction cost of the exchange, the less the local currency is used. The result is that the higher the relative income, the more imports are invoiced in the country's currency. This is consistent with previous studies findings that the producing country's currency tends to be chosen when the imported material is a competitive good.

Table 9: Determinants of the Local Currency Invoicing in Import (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect | Local Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{gathered} -0.0122 \\ (0.0136) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0131 \\ (0.0151) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00518 \\ & (0.0162) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0206 \\ (0.0172) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00945 \\ & (0.0143) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0114 \\ (0.0131) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0197 \\ (0.0139) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0110 \\ (0.0130) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0117 \\ (0.0129) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0117 \\ (0.0132) \end{gathered}$ |
| Exchange Rate Volatiriy |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0125 \\ & (0.0420) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0497 \\ (0.0458) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0322 \\ (0.0423) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0341 \\ (0.0458) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1110^{* *} \\ (0.0531) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0287 \\ & (0.0501) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0240 \\ (0.0523) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0353 \\ (0.0461) \end{gathered}$ |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0120 \\ (0.0109) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infration |  |  |  | -0.0011 | -0.0002 | -0.0004 | -0.0004 | -0.0004 | -0.0003 | -0.0004 |
| Differential |  |  |  | (0.0007) | (0.0008) | (0.0007) | (0.0006) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0384 \\ (0.0228) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InTotal Import |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0243^{* *} \\ (0.0109) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0173^{*} \\ (0.0091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0270^{* *} \\ (0.0112) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0174^{*} \\ & (0.0091) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0180^{*} \\ (0.0093) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0174^{*} \\ & (0.0091) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ifopen |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0337 * \\ & (0.0177) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0397^{*} \\ & (0.0197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0400^{* *} \\ (0.0171) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0392^{*} \\ & (0.0197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0368^{*} \\ & (0.0196) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0396^{*} \\ (0.0196) \end{gathered}$ |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0489^{* *} \\ (0.0196) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Share of <br> Intermediate Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0088 \\ (0.0174) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Share of Final |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0306 |  |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0488) |  |
| Share of Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0041 |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0091) |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} 0.2080^{* * *} \\ (0.0300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2111^{* * *} \\ (0.0342) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2021^{* * *} \\ (0.0324) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0355 \\ (0.1350) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0432 * * * \\ (0.3740) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6912^{* *} \\ (0.2580) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7911^{* * *} \\ (0.2740) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6880^{* *} \\ (0.2570) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7223^{* *} \\ (0.2690) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6920^{* *} \\ (0.2590) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| Number of country | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Within R-squared | 0.0235 | 0.0237 | 0.0369 | 0.0681 | 0.1060 | 0.0900 | 0.1470 | 0.0921 | 0.1000 | 0.0903 |

Table 10 reports the results for estimating USD invoice currency share based on import value. We confirm that the volatility in the exchange rate against the yen has a negative impact on the USD invoicing. While previous studies indicated a preference for the vehicle currency invoicing, the USD, rather than the yen, when exchange rate volatility is high, this study shows a preference for the local currency rather than the USD. This result is also the same as the data without US trade. However, the results also confirm that the higher the transaction cost of a currency, the more the USD is used as an invoicing currency in imports. This suggests Japanese firms are more concerned with currency transaction costs than exchange rate volatility when importing. Finally, the final goods export share is negative in the USD invoicing, consistent with the results in the yen invoicing.

Similar to the case of export, the almost same analysis was performed with the share of the number of transactions as the explained variable and with data excluding trade to the United States from the sample, with almost the same results. A table of these results is presented in the Appendix 4 and 5 .

Table 10: Determinants of the USD Invoicing in Import (2014-2020)

| VARIABLES | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect | USD <br> Fixed Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{gathered} -0.0014 \\ (0.0284) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0097 \\ & (0.0242) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0071 \\ & (0.0281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0150 \\ (0.0265) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0099 \\ (0.0251) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0085 \\ & (0.0239) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0105 \\ & (0.0253) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0069 \\ (0.0243) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0093 \\ (0.0238) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0091 \\ & (0.0240) \end{aligned}$ |
| Exchange Rate Volatiriy |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1160 \\ & (0.1070) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0740 \\ & (0.1080) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1171 \\ & (0.1030) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1350 \\ & (0.1014) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1213 \\ & (0.1000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1540 \\ (0.1030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1691^{*} \\ & (0.0992) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.1320 \\ (0.1030) \end{gathered}$ |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0099 \\ (0.0129) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infration |  |  |  | -0.0007 | -0.0008 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | -0.0007 | -0.0009 |
| Differential |  |  |  | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0011) | (0.0011) | (0.0010) | (0.0010) |
| $\ln G D P$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0270 \\ (0.0395) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InTotal Import |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0123 \\ (0.0088) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0111 \\ (0.0095) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00694 \\ & (0.0088) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0109 \\ (0.0094) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0087 \\ (0.0085) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0109 \\ (0.0095) \end{gathered}$ |
| lfopen |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0025 \\ (0.0214) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0428^{*} \\ & (0.0235) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0445^{*} \\ & (0.0249) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0448^{*} \\ & (0.0236) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0530^{* *} \\ (0.0242) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0432^{*} \\ & (0.0235) \end{aligned}$ |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0201 \\ (0.0323) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Share of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0320 |  |  |
| Intermediate Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0316) |  |  |
| Share of Final |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.1041** |  |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0471) |  |
| Share of Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0115 |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0176) |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} 0.5150^{* * *} \\ (0.0604) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5381^{* * *} \\ (0.0523) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5212^{* * *} \\ (0.0593) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3662 \\ (0.2510) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1841 \\ (0.2880) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2244 \\ (0.2790) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2561 \\ (0.2690) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2142 \\ (0.2840) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3293 \\ (0.2400) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2280 \\ (0.2790) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| Number of country | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Within R-squared | 0.0589 | 0.0714 | 0.0647 | 0.0850 | 0.0846 | 0.0981 | 0.1220 | 0.1160 | 0.1740 | 0.0999 |

## 6. Conclusion

The country-level invoice currency shares revealed by this study indicate that in trade with many countries, the invoice share of the yen is higher than the previously published data on currency shares for the world as a whole or by region. While the data for Japan's trade overall give the strong impression that, compared to other countries, a much higher share of imports and exports are invoiced in the USD and a lower share in the domestic currency (i.e., the yen), the analysis here showed that this is mainly due to the choice of currency used in trade with the United States, China, and resource-rich countries, which account for large shares of Japan's trade. The finding using country-level data that, on a trade value basis, to a certain extent, the yen is chosen as the invoice currency and, on a transaction number basis, an even larger share of trade is invoiced in yen, is a significant contribution both in the field of academia and for industry.

The same can be said about the use of partner country currencies. For example, the Thai baht, which, according to previously available data, was used as an invoice currency in only $1 \%$ of
exports to Asia, was found to be used in $16 \%$ of exports to Thailand on a value basis and $17 \%$ on a transaction number basis. Moreover, not only were exports invoiced in the partner country currency in the case of advanced country currencies such as the Australian dollar (invoice share on an export value basis in 2020: 61.7\%), Canadian dollar (46.8\%), and New Zealand dollar $(36.8 \%)$, but also to some extent in the case of emerging market currencies such as the Russian ruble ( $37.2 \%$ ), Mexican peso ( $11.7 \%$ ), Chinese yuan (10.4\%), Indian rupee ( $9.6 \%$ ), and Brazilian real ( $8.9 \%$ ). Such information benefits firms newly starting trade with these countries and compiling and analyzing such data is of great significance.

From our empirical analysis exploring the determinants of invoice currency, we confirm our previous studies' tendency that the intermediate goods trade share reduces the yen invoicing and increases the USD invoicing in export. We also confirm that the higher the import of the final goods, the more likely it is to use the yen invoicing in import, which explains the high yen invoice share of imports from Europe. The emerging currency invoicing share is significantly negatively related to the FW coefficient and transaction costs, which has been confirmed in other previous studies. In recent years, policies to lower transaction costs of local currencies, such as the internationalization policy of the Chinese yuan and the LCSF of ASEAN countries, have been actively implemented, and Asian currency-denominated transactions may further increase Japan's trade in the future.

One concern is that the widening of the inflation gap and the depreciation of the yen have a negative impact on yen invoicing. The recent trend of yen depreciation and an inflationary gap between Japan and its major trading partner countries mean that Japanese firms will be further exposed to foreign exchange risk. What mechanisms are at work to negatively impact yen invoicing will need to be further investigated.

The US-China trade war that broke out in 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic and supply shortages of intermediate goods such as semiconductors since the following year, and the hike in resource prices due to Russia's invasion of Ukraine are leading to the restructuring of Japanese firms' supply chains and changes in the composition of goods traded (such as a decrease in automotive-related parts and increase in electronic parts). The trend toward a weaker yen, which has become more pronounced since early 2022, may bring about even more significant structural changes in Japanese exports and imports, including the return of some supply chains to the domestic market in the future. To determine what factors are driving preferences for invoicing in the U.S. dollar, yen, or trade partner currency, it will be necessary to combine country-level information on the type of goods traded (by item and by processing stage/type of process) and trading partners (intra- or inter-firm trade), as well as information on the exchange rate system and exchange rate fluctuations in the partner country currency, and examine the determinants of the choice of invoice currency in detail based on such information. We wish to tackle these issues
in the future.
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Appendix 1: Information of All Variables' Descriptive Statistics
Information of All Variables' Descriptive Statistics

| Variables | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min. | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Export |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yen Invoice Share (value based) | 238 | 0.3654 | 0.1549 | 0.0650 | 0.8437 |
| USD Invoice Share (value based) | 238 | 0.3588 | 0.2141 | 0.0474 | 0.8806 |
| Local Currency Invoice Share (value based) | 237 | 0.2218 | 0.2579 | 0.0000 | 0.8806 |
| Yen Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.4878 | 0.1670 | 0.1619 | 0.9592 |
| USD Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.2786 | 0.2018 | 0.0097 | 0.8110 |
| Local Currency Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.1813 | 0.2215 | 0.0000 | 0.7934 |
| Country Specific Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Export Value | 238 | 27.6685 | 1.1650 | 25.0551 | 30.4223 |
| Log GDP | 231 | 1977.6430 | 3803.8340 | 49.9215 | 21372.5700 |
| Number of Subsidiary of Japanese Firms | 238 | 710.3739 | 1184.2670 | 18.0000 | 6670.0000 |
| Chin-Ito Index | 231 | 1.1953 | 1.3713 | -1.2262 | 2.3220 |
| FX Spread (Bid-Asl Spread in Bloomberg quotation) | 224 | 0.0926 | 0.0982 | 0.0041 | 0.4933 |
| Nominal Exchage Rate (v.s. the Japanese yen) | 231 | 52.0330 | 52.9902 | 0.0046 | 186.2216 |
| Exchange Rate Volatilities (in 2 years) | 231 | 0.0542 | 0.0328 | 0.0122 | 0.1965 |
| Inflation Differential (CPI diffeirence with Japan) | 231 | 1.4639 | 2.7546 | -2.9868 | 15.3434 |
| Financial Market Openness Index ${ }^{1}$ | 238 | 201.7775 | 227.3909 | 20.6407 | 1036.8540 |
| Frankel \& Wei Coefficient ${ }^{2}$ | 238 | 0.5575 | 0.3459 | 0.0000 | 1.3851 |
| Import Share from Japan in total imports of the country | 210 | 0.0529 | 0.0395 | 0.0073 | 0.1772 |
| Relative Income ${ }^{3}$ | 231 | 46.7314 | 35.1128 | 2.8887 | 160.2598 |
| Intermediate Goods Share in Export from Japan | 217 | 0.5641 | 0.1546 | 0.2136 | 0.8166 |
| Import |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yen Invoice Share (value based) | 238 | 0.3021 | 0.1411 | 0.0406 | 0.6592 |
| USD Invoice Share (value based) | 238 | 0.5084 | 0.2849 | 0.0476 | 0.9510 |
| Local Currency Invoice Share (value based) | 238 | 0.1797 | 0.2271 | 0.0000 | 0.8332 |
| Yen Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.3938 | 0.2119 | 0.0040 | 0.9162 |
| USD Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.4919 | 0.3017 | 0.0559 | 0.9960 |
| Local Currency Invoice Share (transaction number based) | 238 | 0.1142 | 0.1765 | 0.0000 | 0.7543 |
| Country Specific Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Import Value | 238 | 27.8793 | 0.9493 | 26.1605 | 30.6080 |
| Log GDP | 238 | 6.7373 | 1.1410 | 4.6631 | 9.9699 |
| Number of Subsidiary of Japanese Firms | 238 | 698.5294 | 1189.5540 | 2.0000 | 6670.0000 |
| Chin-Ito Index | 224 | 1.1600 | 1.3778 | -1.2262 | 2.3220 |
| FX Spread (Bid-Asl Spread in Bllomberg quotation) | 238 | 0.0778 | 0.0839 | 0.0041 | 0.4933 |
| Nominal Exchage Rate (v.s. the Japanese yen) | 238 | 52.6202 | 54.8761 | 0.0025 | 186.2216 |
| Exchange Rate Volatilities (in 2 years) | 238 | 0.0470 | 0.0282 | 0.0116 | 0.1965 |
| Inflation Differential (CPI diffeirence with Japan) | 224 | 1.4860 | 2.7883 | -2.9868 | 15.3434 |
| Financial Market Openness Index ${ }^{1}$ | 238 | 263.2893 | 320.6911 | 20.6407 | 1815.3390 |
| Frankel \& Wei Coefficient ${ }^{2}$ | 238 | 0.5866 | 0.3380 | 0.0000 | 1.3851 |
| Export Share to Japan in the country's total exports | 238 | 0.0549 | 0.0512 | 0.0000 | 0.2622 |
| Relative Income ${ }^{3}$ | 238 | 54.1843 | 37.9013 | 2.8887 | 168.9007 |
| Final Goods share in Japanese Import from the country ${ }^{4}$ | 238 | 0.3593 | 0.2339 | 0.0000 | 0.8424 |
| Intermediate Goods share in Japanese Import from the country ${ }^{4}$ | 238 | 0.4091 | 0.1773 | 0.0499 | 0.9628 |
| Primary Goods share in Japanese Import from the country ${ }^{4}$ | 238 | 0.2317 | 0.2852 | 0.0006 | 0.9501 |

Autuors' calculation.
Note 1: Financial Oppenness is the ratio of total foreign assets and liabilities to nominal GDP followed by Lane and Milesi-Ferretti, 2003).
Note 2: FW coefficient is a country's currency's USD coefficient of Frankel and Wei (1994) regression model.
Note 3: Relative income is calculated by a country's per capita income relative to that of the United States follows by Ito and Kawai (2016).
Note 4: Data are based on RIETI TID Data 2020 (https://www.rieti.go.jp/en/projects/rieti-tid).

## Appendix 2: Share based on Transaction Number (EXPORT)




| InExchange Rate | $\begin{gathered} 0.022 \\ (0.0479) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.007 \\ (0.0441) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (0.0362) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.051 \\ (0.0438) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ (0.0460) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.026 \\ (0.0243) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.055 \\ (0.0336) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0571 * \\ & (0.0310) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.030 \\ (0.0231) \end{gathered}$ | $-0.041$ (0.0347) | $\begin{gathered} -0.047 \\ (0.0344) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.039 \\ (0.0290) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0692^{*} \\ & (0.0343) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0686* <br> (0.0384) | $\begin{gathered} 0.040 \\ (0.0305) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ (0.0357) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.053 \\ (0.0351) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exchange Rate |  | $-0.1181 *$ |  |  |  | -0.097 | -0.123 |  | $-0.217^{*}$ |  |  |  |  | -0.100 | -0.101 |  | 0.225** |  |  |  |  | 0.062 | 0.065 |
| Volatiriy |  | (0.0615) |  |  |  | (0.1050) | (0.1030) |  | (0.1110) |  |  |  |  | (0.1100) | (0.1190) |  | (0.0945) |  |  |  |  | (0.0910) | (0.0971) |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.011 |  |  | -0.0323** | -0.0324** | -0.0332** | -0.0336** | $-0.0382^{* *}$ | $-0.0404 * *$ |  |  | 0.0273** | 0.0275*** | 0.0265** | 0.0268** | 0.0322*** | 0.0341*** |
|  |  |  | (0.0142) | (0.0143) | (0.0150) | (0.0149) | (0.0150) |  |  | (0.0156) | (0.0157) | (0.0161) | (0.0157) | (0.0165) | (0.0173) |  |  | (0.0105) | (0.0100) | (0.0105) | (0.0098) | (0.0094) | (0.0101) |
| Infration Differential |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0031 * *}$ | -0.0029** | $-0.0027^{* *}$ | -0.0031 ** | $-0.0036 * *$ |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0029 * *}$ | $-0.0027^{* *}$ | -0.0026** | -0.0024** | -0.0017 | -0.0015 |  |  | 0.0045*** | 0.0042*** | 0.0041*** | 0.0039*** | 0.0033** | 0.0029* |
|  |  |  | (0.0011) | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0014) | (0.0014) |  |  | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0014) | (0.0015) |  |  | (0.0013) | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0012) | (0.0015) | (0.0016) |
| $\operatorname{lnGDP}$ |  |  |  | -0.0237 | -0.0407 | 0.0152 | 0.0068 |  |  | 0.0509 |  | 0.0242 | 0.0238 | -0.0548 | -0.0558 |  |  | -0.0524 |  | $-0.0222$ | $-0.0218$ | 0.0523 | 0.0519 |
|  |  |  |  | (0.0344) | (0.0407) | (0.0386) | (0.0360) |  |  | (0.0428) |  | (0.0509) | (0.0498) | (0.0515) | (0.0535) |  |  | (0.0390) |  | (0.0443) | (0.0437) | (0.0456) | (0.0469) |
| InTotal Export |  |  | 0.0007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0065 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (0.0081) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0112) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0120) |  |  |  |  |
| Share of |  |  |  | -0.0105 | -0.0087 | -0.0234 | $-0.0078$ |  |  |  |  | 0.0035 | 0.0020 | 0.0105 | 0.0039 |  |  |  |  | -0.0079 | -0.0065 | -0.0183 | $-0.0085$ |
| Intermediate Goods |  |  |  | (0.0461) | (0.0435) | (0.0514) | (0.0443) |  |  |  |  | (0.0282) | (0.0279) | (0.0199) | (0.0228) |  |  |  |  | (0.0314) | (0.0315) | (0.0286) | -0.033 |
| ${ }^{\text {lnFinancial Opennes: }}$ |  |  |  |  | $-0.0270$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0363 | -0.0380 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0255 | 0.0272 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.0296) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0288) | (0.0290) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0216) | (0.0219) |  |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  | -0.0044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-0.0420$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0402 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.0196) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0276) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0355) |  |  |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | -0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0018** | 0.0020** |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0015* | $-0.0017 *$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0007) | (0.0007) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0008) | (0.0008) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0008) | (0.0008) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.3390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1180 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.4950) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.1170) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.2810) |
| Constant | 0.421*** | 0.468*** | 0.348* | 0.498*** | 0.718** | 0.395 | 0.485** | 0.243*** | 0.330*** | 0.005 | 0.496 | 0.313 | 0.342 | 0.492 | 0.506 | 0.190** | 0.100 | 0.459* | -0.006 | 0.204 | 0.175 | 0.009 | 0.018 |
|  | (0.123) | (0.112) | (0.188) | (0.177) | (0.292) | (0.235) | (0.211) | (0.062) | (0.090) | (0.260) | (0.346) | (0.369) | (0.365) | (0.322) | (0.338) | (0.074) | (0.091) | (0.244) | (0.371) | (0.337) | (0.335) | (0.285) | (0.301) |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 231 | 231 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 203 | 231 | 231 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 203 | 231 | 231 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 203 |
| Number of country | 33 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| Within R -squared | 0.069 | 0.077 | 0.129 | 0.141 | 0.148 | 0.134 | 0.155 | 0.107 | 0.133 | 0.210 | 0.198 | 0.223 | 0.231 | 0.235 | 0.245 | 0.266 | 0.300 | 0.418 | 0.401 | 0.449 | 0.459 | 0.453 | 0.465 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, *p<0.1

## Appendix 3: Share based on Value without US sample (EXPORT)

|  | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | USD | USD | UsD | USD | USD | USD | USD | USD | Us |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VARIABLES | Fixed Effect | Fied fffect | Fixed Effect | Fixed fffect | Fixed Effect | Fied Effect | Fixed Effect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fived fffect | Fixed Effect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed Effect | Fixed Effect | Fied fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed Effect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed Effect |
| InExchange Rate | 0.0210 (0.0486) | 0.00644 <br> (0.0449) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0202 \\ & (0.049) \\ & (0.049 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0444 \\ (0.0457) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0344 \\ & (0.0372 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.0478$ $(0.0450$ | 0.0560 (0.0478) | 0.0763 (0.0878) | $\begin{gathered} -0.0224 \\ -0.02929 \end{gathered}$ | $-0.0542$ ${ }^{0.0 .030}$ | $-0.00384$ (0.014) | $-0.052^{*}$ | $-0.0251$ (0.0228) | ${ }^{-0.0481}$ $(0.034)$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0233 \\ (0.0278) \end{gathered}$ | 0.0248 (0.0286) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0403 \\ (0.0295) \end{gathered}$ | $0.072^{*}$ <br> (0.0349) | 0.0240 (0.0255) | 0.0428 (0.0315) | $0.071^{*}$ (0.0392) | 0.0431 (0.0313) | 0.0497 <br> (0.0366) | 0.0498 <br> (0.0335) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0638 \\ & (0.0532) \end{aligned}$ |
| Exchange Rate <br> Volatiriy |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.110^{*} \\ (0.0624) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.238^{* *} \\ & -(0.107) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2255^{2} \\ & (0.0966) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F.W.Coefficient |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0020 \\ & (0.0178) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ (0.0139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0010 \\ & (0.0142) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0015 (0.0143) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0006 \\ & (0.0150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0150 \\ & (0.0196) \\ & (0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0420 * * \\ & (0.0192) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(0.0 .031 * *)}{(0.0158)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0332^{* * *} \\ & (0.0159) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.022_{7} \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0340_{0 * *}^{*} \\ (0.0149) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0555_{1 * *}^{* *} \\ (0.0208) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0366^{* *} \\ (0.0152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.027 * * * \\ (0.0102) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0268^{* *} \\ & 0.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0270^{* *} \\ (0.0101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0261^{* *} \\ & (0.0106) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0266^{* * *} \\ & (0.0084) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0335 \\ & (0.0201) \end{aligned}$ |
| Infation Differential |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0031 * * * * * \\ & (0.0012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0032^{* * *} \\ & (0.0011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0031 * * \\ & (0.001212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0029 * * \\ & (0.0013) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0066^{*} \\ & (0.0033) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0028^{* *} \\ & (0.01313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0025^{* *} \\ & (0.0012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0027^{* *} \\ & (0.0013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0020^{-0} \\ & (0.00111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.003 \\ & (0.0018) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{(0.0044 * *}{0.0013)}$ | $\underset{\left(0.0046^{* * *}\right.}{(0.013)}$ | ${ }^{0.0043^{* * * *}}$ | $\underset{\left(0.0022^{* * *}\right.}{(0.0012)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0040 * * * \\ (0.0011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0022 \\ & (0.0029 \end{aligned}$ |
| $l_{\text {nGDP }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0186 \\ 0.039) \\ 0.039 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0232 \\ & (0.0345) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0391 \\ (0.0411) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0627 \\ & (0.0836) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0512 \\ & (0.0430) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0469 \\ & (0.0463) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}-0.0327 \\ (0.0510) \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0302 \\ & (0.0470) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0524 \\ & (0.0391) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0233 \\ & (0.045) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0168 \\ & (0.0495) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0233 \\ & (0.0652) \end{aligned}$ |
| InTotal Export |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00069 \\ & (0.0080 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00770 \\ & (0.0114) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00655 \\ & (0.0119) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Share oflntermediate |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0105}$ | ${ }^{-0.0087}$ | -0.1540*** |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.00162}$ |  | -0.111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.00752}$ |  | ${ }^{0.248^{* *}}$ |
| Goods InFinancial Operness |  |  |  |  |  | (0.045) | ${ }^{(0.0432)}$ | ${ }_{-0.0652}^{(0.051)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0282) | -0.0379 | ${ }_{-0.0349}^{(0.0855}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{(0.0311)}$ | 0.0151 | (0.0936) 0.0645 |
| InFinancial Openness |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(0.0.035 }}$ | ${ }^{(0.0459)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.027) | (0.048) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.021) | (0.029) | (0.0464) |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0055 \\ & (0.0200) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2070 \\ & (0.122) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0392 \\ & (0.0258) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0102 \\ & (0.0248) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0413 \\ & (0.0342) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.198 \\ (0.121) \end{gathered}$ |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0004 \\ & (0.0010) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0016^{* *}$ (0.0007) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0013 \\ & (0.0012) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0015^{*} \\ & (0.0008) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0022 \\ (0.0014) \end{gathered}$ |
| Import Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6750 \\ & (0.470) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5790 \\ & (0.4750) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.091 * \\ & (0.6400) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $0.435 * *$ <br> (0.121) | 0.477*** <br> (0.111) | $\underset{\left(0.435^{* * *}\right.}{\substack{0.120)}}$ | $\underset{\left(0.483^{* * *}\right.}{\substack{0.169)}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.365^{*} \\ & (0.185) \end{aligned}$ | $0.510^{* * *}$ <br> (0.174) | $\begin{gathered} 0.716^{* *} \\ (0.293) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.977^{*} \\ (0.523) \end{gathered}$ | $0.214^{* * *}$ <br> (0.059) | $0.307^{* * *}$ <br> (0.086) | $\begin{gathered} 0.194 * * * \\ (0.042) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.026 \\ & (0.259 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.462 \\ (0.349 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.012 \\ & (0.269) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.565^{*} \\ & (0.323 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.613 \\ & (0.362) \end{aligned}$ | $0.177^{* *}$ (0.074) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.086 \\ & (0.090) \end{aligned}$ | $0.191^{* * *}$ <br> ${ }^{(0.066)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.154^{*} \\ & (0.099) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.433^{*} \\ & (0.242) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.028 \\ & (0.367) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.196 \\ & (0.333) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.029 \\ & (0.344) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.351 \\ & \hline(0.414) \end{aligned}$ |
| Import Country Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. ofobservations | 224 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 210 | 210 | 203 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 210 | 217 | 203 | 224 | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 | 217 | 210 | 217 | 203 |
| Number of country | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 |
| Within R-squared | 0.065 | 0.072 | 0.065 | 0.130 | 0.128 | 0.140 | 0.446 | 0.228 | 0.117 | 0.47 | 0.175 | 0.217 | 0.205 | 0.219 | 0.271 | 0.160 | 0.260 | 0.294 | 0.316 | 0.397 | 0.416 | 0.399 | 0.446 | 0.472 | 0.239 |

## Appendix 4: Share based on Transaction Number (IMPORT)

|  | $\underset{\text { Fixed fffect }}{\substack{\text { JP }}}$ | JPY | JPY | $\begin{gathered} \text { JPY } \\ \text { Fied ffect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JPY } \\ \text { Fived Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JPY } \\ \text { Fived Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { JPY } \\ \text { Fixed Effect }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JPY } \\ \text { fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effec } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed ffect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fived Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effec } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ t \text { Fied Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USD } \\ \text { Fived Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { USD } \\ \text { Fived Effect }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { USD } \\ \text { Fixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USD } \\ \text { ixed Effect } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variables |  | Fived Effect | Fied ffect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InExchange Rate | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0153 \\ & (0.0379) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0203 \\ & (0.0453) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0009 \\ & (0.040) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0097 \\ (0.0404) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0028 \\ & 0.0 .086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0103 \\ (0.00559595 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0036 \\ (0.034) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0015 \\ & (0.0353 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0225 \\ (0.0173) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0091 \\ & (0.0234) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0341 \\ & (0.0240) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0196 \\ (0.0190) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0188 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0328 \\ & (0.02411 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0195 \\ & (0.0189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0218 \\ (0.0215) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.010 \\ \hline 0.0157 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0001 \\ 0.0036 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0016 \\ & (0.0371) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0292 \\ & (0.0371) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0236 \\ & (0.0347) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0239 \\ & (0.0345) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0231 \\ & (0.0372) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0240 \\ & (0.0357) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0220 \\ & (0.0352) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0191 |
| Exchange Rate |  |  | ${ }_{\text {- }}^{-0.2500}$ | $-0.322^{*}$ | $-0.384^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2570 \\ & (0.158) \\ & (0.18) \end{aligned}$ | $-0.1800$ | $-0.3301 * *$ |  |  | 0.0452 | 0.0153 | 0.036 | $0.142^{*}$ | 0.0143 | $-0.00170$ | $-0.0538$ |  |  | 0.2340 | $0.301 \mathrm{q}^{* *}$ | ${ }^{0.303^{* *}}$ | 0.3051* | $0.292^{* *}$ | $0.2433^{*}$ <br> $(0.1240$ | $0.3254^{* *}$ |
| F.W.Coeficient |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00851 \\ & (0.0360) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0229 \\ 0.020 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00253 \\ & (0.0255 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infation Differential |  |  | ${ }^{0.0040}$ | ${ }^{0.0019}$ | ${ }^{0.0024}$ | ${ }^{0.0024}$ | ${ }^{0.0019}$ | ${ }^{0.0025}$ |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0016}$ | ${ }^{-0.0005}$ | ${ }^{-0.0002}$ | ${ }^{-0.0005}$ | ${ }^{-0.0004}$ | ${ }^{-0.0003}$ | 0.0002 |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0039}$ | ${ }^{-0.0034}$ | ${ }^{-0.0033}$ | ${ }^{-0.0033}$ | ${ }^{-0.0033}$ | ${ }^{-0.0031}$ | ${ }^{-0.0034}$ |
| InGDP |  |  | ${ }^{(0.0031)}$ (0.0592) | (0.0032) | (0.0030) | (0.0029) | (0.0027) | (0.022) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} (0.0014) \\ 0.0480 \end{gathered}$ $0$ | (0.0011) | (0.0011) | (0.000) | (0.0011) | (0.0011) | (0.0010) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} (0.0028) \\ -0.0359 \\ -0.059 \end{gathered}$ $(0.0621$ | (0.0027) | 0029) | (0.0028) | (0.0027) | .022 | 025) |
| InTotal Import |  |  |  | $0.0722^{* *}$ (0.0298) | ${ }_{0}^{0.0717^{* * *}}$ | $0.057^{* *}$ ${ }^{(0.0263)}$ | $\underset{\substack{0.063^{* * *} \\(0.0267)}}{\substack{0 *}}$ | $\underset{\left(0.052^{* * *}\right.}{(0.026)}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{-0.0375^{* *} \\(0.0174)}}{-(1)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0457 * * \\ (0.0205) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0 .519 * * \\ & (0.0210) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{-0.0371 * * \\(0.0175)}}{-(0)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0393 * * \\ & (0.0180) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0321^{-0} \\ (0.0175) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0300 \\ & (0.0190) \end{aligned}$ | -0.0.0 | $\begin{gathered} -0.0309 \\ (0.02828) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0299 \\ (0.0193) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-0.0340^{*}}$ | ${ }^{-0.0312}$ |
| Hfopen |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0783 \\ & (0.0661) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0436 \\ & (0.0289) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.0 .11 \\ (0.05969 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| FX spread |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0448 \\ & 0.0 .584+4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0435 \\ & (0.0581) \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (0.0469 \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0455 \\ & (0.05177 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0391 \\ & 0.0 .260 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0378 \\ & (0.03155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0295 \\ & (0.0238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0121 \\ & (0.0182 \\ & \hline 0 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0056 \\ & (0.0502) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0055 \\ & (0.0498) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0116 \\ & (0.0454) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0036 \\ & (0.0451) \end{aligned}$ |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0737 \\ & (0.0620 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0755^{2 *} \\ & (0.0299) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0049 \\ & (0.0625) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Share of Intermediate Goods |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(0.0 .0917)}^{-0.075}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0305 <br> 0.0430 <br> 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0117 \\ & (0.0617) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Share ofFinal Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.377 * * \\ & (0.139) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.105 \\ & 0.0122) \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.182 \\ & (0.124) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Share of Primary <br> Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0900 \\ & (0.07515 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & (0.0169 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0778 \\ & (0.0678) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | 0.353*** (0.082) | $\underset{\left(0.388^{8 * *}\right.}{\substack{0.085}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.462 \\ & (0.378) \\ & \hline 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { (1.974* }(1.11)^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.302 \\ (0.790) \\ (0.70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.1 .46 \\ (0.776) \\ \hline 166 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.50^{*} \\ & (0.765) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.201 \\ & (0.748) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{0.166^{2 * *} \\(0.038)}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{0.152 * * * \\(0.039}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.135 \\ (0.173) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.209 * * \\ & (0.503) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.1.66^{*} 6^{*}\right) \\ & (.099 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.344 * \pi \\ & (0.524) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 .8_{5 * *} \\ & (0.502) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3000 * * \\ & (0.531) \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 1.01^{*} \\ 0.50 \end{array}\right)$ | $\underset{\substack{0.500 * * * \\(0.072)}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{0.50^{2 * *} \\(0.073)}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.66 \\ (0.411) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.270^{1}+ \\ & (0.556 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.382 \\ & (0.924) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.275 * \\ & (0.587) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.264 * * \\ & (0.58) \\ & { }_{(0.58)} \end{aligned}$ | 1.452** | $\begin{aligned} & 1.294 * * \\ & (0.50) \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Import Country Fixed } \\ \text { Effect }}}{ }$ | Yes | Yes | Yes | yes | yes | yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fixed Effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. ofobservations | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 | 238 |
| Number of county | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | ${ }^{34}$ | 34 | 34 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | 34 | 34 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | 34 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{34}$ |
| Within R.squared | 0.046 | 0.047 | 0.062 | 0.112 | 0.124 | 0.114 | 0.229 | 0.114 | 0.034 | 0.043 | 0.049 | 0.074 | 0.085 | 0.108 | 0.083 | 0.101 | 0.085 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.086 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.099 | 0.099 | 0.153 | 0.118 |

[^7]Appendix 5: Share based on Value without US sample (IMPORT)

|  | JPY | ${ }_{\text {JPY }}$ | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | JPY | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | Local | usd | usd | UsD | UsD | usd | usp | UsD | usd | usd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varablis | Fived fffect | Fived fffect | Fixed fffect | Fixed ffiect | Fied fficet | Fied fffect | Fied ffect | Fixed ffiect | Fixed ffect | Fixed Effect | Fived ffiect | Fixed ffiect | Fixed ffect | Fixed ffiect | Fied fficet | Fised ffiect | Fied Effee | Fixed ffect | Fied Effee | Fied Effee | Fied Effee | Fied Effer | Fived Effe | Fived Effer | Fived Effe | Fived fffect |
| ${ }^{\text {InExchange Rate }}$ | 0.0128 | ${ }^{0.0061}$ | 0.0333 | 0.0213 | 0.029 | 0.0202 | 0.0228 | 0.0228 | ${ }^{-0.0113}$ | ${ }^{-0.0041}$ | ${ }^{-0.0199}$ | -0.097 | -0.0087 | -0.019 | ${ }^{-0.0106}$ | -0.0112 | ${ }^{-0.0113}$ | -0.000 | -0.0063 | ${ }^{-0.0146}$ | -0.0095 | ${ }^{-0.0095}$ | -0.0101 | ${ }^{-0.0066}$ | -0.0889 | ${ }_{-0.0088}$ |
|  | (0.034) | (0.032) | (0.028) | (0.026) | (0.027) | (0.027) | (0.028) | (0.0278) | (0.0138) | (0.0164) | (0.0172) | (0.014) | (0.0145) | (0.013) | (0.0132) | ${ }^{(0.0130)}$ | (0.0133) | (0.028) | (0.028) | (0.026) | (0.023) | (0.0253) | (0.0255) | (0.024) | (0.024) | (0.024) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exchange Rate } \\ & \text { Volatiriy } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${ }^{0.045}$ | 0.127 | 0.077 | 0.167 | 0.188 | 0.40 |  |  | 0.0489 | 0.0140 | 0.0293 | ${ }^{0.114 *}$ | 0.0274 | 0.0216 | ${ }_{0}^{0.039}$ |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0810}$ | ${ }^{-0.125}$ | ${ }^{-0.126}$ | ${ }^{-0.130}$ | ${ }^{-0.165}$ | ${ }^{-0.182^{*}}$ | ${ }^{-0.142}$ |
|  |  |  | (0.107) | ${ }^{(0.104)}$ | ${ }^{(0.13)}$ | (0.114) | (0.112) | (0.112) |  |  | (0.0483) | (0.046) | (0.0448) | (0.059) | (0.0531) | ${ }^{(0.0559)}$ | (0.042) |  |  | (0.11) | (0.10) | (0.109) | (0.103) | (0.106) | (0.102) | (0.10) |
| F.W.Coefficient |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0114 \\ & (0.0167) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0122 <br> (0.0110) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00989 \\ & (0.0129 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infation Differential |  |  | ${ }^{0.00162^{*}}$ | ${ }^{0.0010}$ | 0.0013 | 0.0012 | 0.0010 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0013}$ |  |  | -0.0010 | ${ }^{-0.0005}$ | -0.0001 | -0.000 | ${ }^{-0.0004}$ | -0.0003 | ${ }^{-0.0004}$ |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0006}$ | ${ }^{-0.0007}$ | ${ }^{-0.0008}$ | ${ }^{-0.0008}$ | ${ }^{-0.0008}$ | ${ }^{-0.0006}$ | ${ }^{-0.0008}$ |
|  |  |  | (0.0010) | (0.0012) | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0009) | (0.0009) |  |  | (0.0008) | (0.0007) | (0.0008) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) | (0.0007) |  |  | (0.0010) | (0.0010) | (0.0011) | (0.0011) | (0.0011) | (0.0010) | (0.000) |
| ${ }_{1 n G D P}$ |  |  | -0.0431 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.0398 *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (0.035) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.339) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InToat I Import |  |  |  | 0.0144 | ${ }^{0.0193}$ | 0.0091 | ${ }^{0.0116}$ | ${ }^{0.0091}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0178^{*}}$ | -0.0243** | -0.027 ** | -0.0172* | -0.0178* | ${ }^{-0.0172^{*}}$ |  |  |  | 0.0121 | ${ }^{0.0123}$ | ${ }^{0.00718}$ | 0.012 | 0.00910 | 0.0112 |
|  |  |  |  | (0.0154) | (0.0161) | (0.0142) | (0.0137) | (0.0143) |  |  |  | (0.0093) | (0.0110) | (0.014) | (0.0092) | (0.004) | (0.0093) |  |  |  | (0.0095) | (0.0088) | (0.0088) | (0.0094) | (0.008) | (0.0095) |
| ${ }_{\text {Hfopen }}$ |  |  |  | 0.0307 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0350* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00116 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (0.0240) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0180) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0217) |  |  |  |  |
| FXspread |  |  |  |  | -0.0093 | ${ }^{-0.0105}$ | ${ }^{-0.0205}$ | ${ }^{-0.0089}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0099** | -0.039** | ${ }^{-0.0372^{*}}$ | ${ }^{-0.0401 *}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.0445^{*}}$ | ${ }^{0.0450 *}$ | 0.0534** | 0.043** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.0212) | (0.019) | (0.017) | (0.018) |  |  |  |  |  | (0.017) | (0.0198) | (0.0197) | (0.0197) |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0251) | (0.023) | (0.0243) | (0.023) |
| Relative Income |  |  |  |  | -0.0522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0506{ }^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0207 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.032) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0197) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.032) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share of Intermediate } \\ & \text { Goods } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.0085}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.0324}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0323) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.017) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0317) |  |  |
| Share offinal Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0^{0.123 * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.106^{* *}}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0543) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.049) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0468) |  |
| Share ofPrimay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{-0.0163}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0049 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0120 |
| Goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0097) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0185) |
| Constant | $0.275^{* * *}$ | 0.282*** | $0.517{ }^{\text {*** }}$ | ${ }^{-0.2990}$ | -0.0927 | 0.0141 | ${ }^{-0.1200}$ | ${ }^{-0.0028}$ | ${ }^{0.186^{* * *}}$ | $0.179^{* * *}$ | ${ }^{-0.0639}$ | 0.679** | $1.026^{* *}$ | 0.765** | ${ }^{0.662 * *}$ | $0.695^{* *}$ | ${ }^{0.666^{* *}}$ | ${ }^{0.503 * * *}$ | 0.509*** | 0.352 | 0.190 | 0.178 | ${ }_{0} 0.37$ | ${ }^{0.195}$ | 0.310 | 0.209 |
|  | (0.070) | (0.067) | (0.238) | (0.511) | (0.434) | (0.418) | (0.401) | (0.417) | (0.030) | (0.032) | (0.13) | (0.26) | (0.375) | (0.27) | (0.26) | (0.272) | ${ }^{(0.262)}$ | (0.059) | (0.058) | (0.250) | (0.278) | (0.287) | (0.29) | (0.284) | (0.240) | (0.279) |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Import Country Fied } \\ \text { Effect }}}{ }$ | Yes | Yes | yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Year Fied fffect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| No. of observations | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | ${ }^{231}$ | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 | ${ }^{231}$ | 231 | 231 | 231 | 231 |
| Number of country | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{33}$ |
| Within R.ssuared | 0.0541 | 0.0601 | 0.108 | 0.104 | 0.139 | 0.108 | 0.173 | 0.0927 | 0.0208 | 0.0346 | 0.0679 | 0.0683 | 0.105 | 0.147 | 0.0891 | 0.0978 | 0.0876 | 0.0573 | 0.0632 | 0.0851 | 0.0846 | 0.0846 | 0.123 | 0.117 | 0.177 | 0.100 |


[^0]:    * This study is the result of research conducted jointly with the Policy Research Institute (PRI), which submitted an offer of use to the Ministry of Finance based on the "Guideline on the utilization of Customs' import and export declaration data in joint research with Policy Research Institute," and received approval in February 2022. The views expressed in this research are those of the author's personal responsibility and do not represent the official views of the Ministry of Finance or the Policy Research Institute of the Ministry of Finance. The authors thank the staff at the PRI for the great research support they received, especially Kenta Ando, Fumiharu Ito, and Shintaro Negishi, for the use of transaction-level microdata. Shimizu, Sato, Yoshimi and Yoshimoto gratefully acknowledge financial support from the JSPS KAKENHI 18K01698, 23 H 00836 \& $23 \mathrm{~K} 17550,20 \mathrm{H} 01518$ \& 20 KK 0289 , and JP21K20172, for financial support, respectively.
    ${ }^{1}$ Corresponding author: Junko Shimizu, Faculty of Economics, Gakushuin University, Japan. Email:
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    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Yokohama National University, Japan
    ${ }^{3}$ Columbia University and NBER
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    ${ }^{5}$ Shiga University, Japan
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ That said, the peak of the real effective exchange rate was in 1995.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The number of declaration columns is used. The same applies for imports.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reason for aggregating the invoice currency shares on an annual basis is that shares calculated on a more frequent basis - such as a monthly or semiannual basis - are unduly affected by larger-value import and export declarations.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that for some countries there were large changes in invoice currency shares in a short period of time. Since there were no major changes in the number of transactions for these countries, these large changes in invoice currency shares likely reflect either fluctuations in the volume of trade in a particular good or a switch in the invoice currency. When explaining large changes in invoice currency shares, it is important to distinguish whether such changes were caused by changes in the value (volume) of exports of goods that are traditionally invoiced in USD, yen, or the partner country's currency, or whether they were caused by a switch in the invoice currency - for example, if goods that were traditionally invoiced in USD started to be invoiced in yen. This is an issue we hope to examine in detail in the future.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{www}$. rieti.go.jp/jp/projects/rieti-tid/

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The information of all variables' descriptive statistics is in Appendix 1.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ We confirm this result with data excluding trade to the United States.

[^7]:    

